	0430 0:11 01 02210 X   D004110111 200 0 1	iica	00/10/22
	Page 1		Page 3
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	INDEX
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	2	PAGE
	DALLAS DIVISION	3	Appearances2
	CHARLENE CARTER, )	4 5	ED SCHNEIDER Examination by Mr. Gilliam4
	Plaintiff, )	"	Examination by Mr. Correll129
	)	6	Examination by IVII. Conton
	vs. ) Case No.	7	Signature and Changes132
	) 3:17-cv-02278-X	8	Reporter's Certificate134
	SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO., AND )	9	EXHIBITS
	TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION OF )	11	EARIDITS
	AMERICA, LOCAL 556, )	12	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
	Defendants.	13	2 03-14-17 termination letter to 18
	,	١	C. Carter
	ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION	14	3 02-22-17 email to S. Stephensen 31
	ED SCHNEIDER	15	from A. Stone, SWA004226 - 4233
	November 3, 2020	16	4 02-23-17 email to S. Stephensen 33
	(Reported Remotely)		from D. Kissman, SWA0004421
	+++CONFIDENTIAL+++	17	5 00 00 15 H. D. G. H. 65
		18	5 02-28-17 email to D. Gutierrez 65 and M. Emlet from E. Schneider,
	ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ED SCHNEIDER,	'0	SWA004633 - 4638
	produced as a witness at the instance of the	19	
	Plaintiff and duly sworn, was taken in the		6 03-09-17 email to M. Emlet and 81
	above-styled and numbered cause on November 3, 2020,	20	D. Gutierrez from E. Schneider,
	from 10:00 a.m. to 2:55 p.m., before Cheryl Duncan,	21	SWA004675 - 4692
	CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by		7 03-10-17 email to M. Emlet, D. 112
	computerized stenotype machine in Parker, Colorado,	22	Gutierrez and E. Barnett from
	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the		E. Schneider, SWA004711 - 4712
	First Emergency Order regarding the COVID-19 State of	23	14 Email from Denise Gutierrez to 84
	Disaster, and the provisions stated on the record or	24	Audrey Stone
	attached hereto.	25	15 Termination letter 120
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	FOR PLAINTIFF:	2	
3	Mr. Matthew B. Gilliam National Right to Work Legal Defense Foundation	I	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
4	Suite 600	3	record. Today's date is November 3rd, 2020. The
5	8001 Braddock Road Springfield, Virginia 22160	4	time is 10:00 a.m. central.
	703.321.8510	5	Will the court reporter please swear
6	703.321.9319 Fax mbg@nrtw.org	6	in the witness.
7	noge intwicig	7	ED SCHNEIDER,
8	FOR DEFENDANT TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA,	8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	LOCAL 556:	I	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
10	Mr. Edward B. Cloutman, III	9	EXAMINATION
10	Mr. Adam S. Greenfield LAW OFFICES OF CLOUTMAN & GREENFIELD, P.L.L.C.	10	Q. (BY MR. GILLIAM) Good morning,
11	3301 Elm Street	11	Mr. Schneider.
12	Dallas, Texas 75226-1637 214.642.7486	12	A. Good morning.
	ecloutman@lawoffices.com	13	Q. My name is Matt Gilliam, and I represent
13 14	agreenfield@candglegal.com	14	plaintiff Charlene Carter in this case. And I'm here
17	FOR DEFENDANT SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO.:	I	*
15	Mr. Michael A. Comell	15	today to ask you questions about the case, Carter V
16	Mr. Michael A. Correll REED SMITH LLP	16	Southwest Airlines Company and Transport Workers
	Suite 1700	17	Union of America, Local 556. If at any point you
17	2501 N. Harwood Street Dallas, Texas 75201	18	need a break, just let me know. I assume you've been
18	469.680.4264	19	deposed before.
19	mcorrell@reedsmith.com	20	
			A LOSVADOT
20		I	11. I have now
20	ALSO PRESENT:	21	Q. You have not been deposed, okay.
		21 22	Q. You have not been deposed, okay. So when I ask you questions, if you
20	Mack Spurlock, Videographer Lauren Armstrong	21	Q. You have not been deposed, okay. So when I ask you questions, if you
20 21 22	Mack Spurlock, Videographer	21 22	Q. You have not been deposed, okay. So when I ask you questions, if you could, just answer them to the best of your ability.
20 21	Mack Spurlock, Videographer Lauren Armstrong	21 22 23	Q. You have not been deposed, okay. So when I ask you questions, if you

CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ED SCHNEIDER Case 3:17-cv-02278-X Document 263-6 Filed 06/13/22 Page 2 of 54 PageID Page 5 Page 7 1 nods, no gestures, no "uh-huhs" and "huh-uhs." Q. Okay. Was Suzanne Stephensen also working 2 2 Similarly, we have to make sure that at Phoenix? 3 3 we don't talk over each other. So I'll do my best to A. No. 4 try not to talk over you, let you finish your, your 4 Q. Okay. All right. And have you ever worked 5 5 as a flight attendant with Southwest Airlines? answer before I ask another question. Similarly, if 6 you could make sure that I finish my question before, 6 Yes. 7 7 before you answer. That way, we can keep the record Okay. How long did you work as a flight 8 8 attendant? clear. 9 Have you read the complaint in this 9 A. Eight and a half years. 10 10 O. Okay. And that was all with Southwest? case? 11 11 A. Yes. A. I am aware of it, yes. 12 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the claims 12 Q. Okay. Were you a member of the union while 13 13 that Ms. Carter's made against TWU Local 556 and you were a flight attendant? 14 14 A. Yes. Southwest Airlines? 15 15 A. Yes. Q. Okay. How long were you a union member? 16 16 A. For the eight and half years I was a flight Q. Okay. And you, you work at Southwest; is 17 17 that correct? attendant. 18 18 Q. Okay. And when I say "union," you A. Yes. 19 19 Q. And what is your current position at understand I'm referring to TWU Local 556? Or if I 20 20 Southwest Airlines? refer to "556," I'm referring to the Transport 21 21 Workers Union of America, Local 556? A. I'm the inflight base manager for the 22 22 Denver base. A. Yes. 23 23 Q. Okay. And how long have you been in that Q. Okay. Did you ever hold an elected office 24 24 with the union? position? 25 A. Three and a half years. 25 A. No. Page 6 Page 8 1 Q. Okay. And you were Denver base manager 1 Q. Okay. Now, in your current position as 2 2 when Charlene was terminated? base manager in Denver, what are your 3 3 responsibilities? A. Yes. 4 4 Q. Okay. And how long had you been in that A. I oversee the operation of the inflight 5 position when, when Charlene was terminated? 5 base, which is the flight attendants of Southwest 6 6 A. About eleven months. Airlines that are based in Denver. I make sure that 7 7 Q. Okay. So did you, did you become Denver the daily operations are taken care of, 8 8 base manager in 2016? investigations, any type of awards or recognition for 9 9 our flight attendants. I have a staff of 16 that A. 2017, January. 10 10 Q. January of 2017, okay. works for me, including coordinators and supervisors 11 And what, what were you doing before and assistant base managers, and I oversee their 11 you became Denver base manager with Southwest? 12 duties also. 13 A. I was the assistant base manager in Q. Okay. How many flight attendants do you Phoenix, Arizona. 14 oversee? 15 15

- 12 13 14
  - Q. Okay. And who did you report to there in Phoenix as assistant base manager?
    - A. Deborah Edwards, the base manager.
    - Q. Okay. When you worked in Phoenix, did you have the opportunity to work with Suzanne Stephensen?
    - A. She is the base manage in Las Vegas. And I had a working relationship with her, but nothing close, because they are two separate basis.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you know her while you were 24 working at Phoenix?
- 25 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. Currently 1,926. Q. Okay. And has that changed since 2017? A. Yes. Okay. About how many did you oversee in Q. 2017? **Roughly 1,650.** Q. Okay. All right. And who, who is your supervisor? A. My immediate leader is Dave Kissman. Q. Okay. Do you, do you report solely to Dave Kissman?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

- 1 A. He's the next in the chain of command, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you also report to Mike Sims?
- 3 A. Not any longer.
- 4 Q. Okay. Did you report to Mike Sims in 2017?
- 5 A. He was Dave Kissman's director, boss, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And did, did you ever report to 7 their supervisors?
- 8 A. I don't understand.

15

16

19

1

4

5

to?

- 9 Q. So did, did you report -- well, let's see.
- 10 Who, who was Dave Kissman's supervisor?
- A. Dave Kissman's boss was Mike Sims. It is 11 12 now Steve Murtoff.
- 13 Q. Okay. And in 2017, who was Mike Sims' 14 supervisor?
  - A. He reported to Sonya Lacore, our VP of inflight.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you ever take issues to Sonya 18 Lacore?
  - A. No. we followed the chain of command.
- 20 Q. Okay. And were you Charlene Carter's 21 direct supervisor?
- 22 A. I was the base manager for the Denver base, 23 and she reported to the Denver base.
- 24 Q. Okay. If she had any issues to take to 25 who -- to her supervisor, who would she take those

- 1 they would need.
  - Q. Okay. When you say they take care of the front lounge, what do you mean?
    - A. The lounge is where the flight attendants walk in to, it's the front part of our space. And the office area is behind that. Their customer service desk, basically, is out in that lounge area,

Page 11

Page 12

- 8 and they sit at that desk and take care of any needs 9 from the flight attendants.
  - Q. Okay. And what do supervisors do?
- 11 A. Supervisors, on a daily basis, they will 12 conduct A checks at the gate, where the aircraft 13 departs, make sure that flight attendants are
- 14 reporting on time, they have the required items. 15
- They will do assessment flights where they'll go out 16 and observe flight attendants working in action. And
- 17 they will also be a resource for flight attendants
- 18 that come into the lounge that may need some
- 19 leadership-type -- and also they will go down to the
- 20 gates and monitor the daily operation down there, 21
- working in conjunction with ground operations. 22
- Q. Okay. Now, did you say you did not recall 23 who Charlene Carter's supervisor was?
- 24 A. Not specifically, no.
- 25 Okay. All right. Now, as part of your

Page 10

- 2 A. I don't recall specifically which 3
  - supervisor was hers at the time. But it would have been one of my supervisors that reports to me.
  - Q. Okay. So she wouldn't report directly to
- 6 you, she would report to a supervisor?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, how many coordinators did you 9 have reporting to you?
- 10 A. Three.
- 11 Okay. And how many supervisors did you
- 12 have reporting to you?
- 13 Ten. Α.
- 14 Q. Okay. And who were the three coordinators?
- 15 A. By name?
- 16 O. Yes. In 2017.
- 17 A. Janet Rhea.
- 18 O. Okay.

20

- 19 A. Jen Luna and Mike Herrick.
  - Q. Okay. And what, what do coordinators do?
- 21 A. They take care of the front entrance into
- 22 the lounge where the flight attendants report. And
- 23 they manage anything that a flight attendant needs, 24 any documents, any paperwork. They take care of
- 25 parking for flight attendants, any type of resources

- responsibilities, did you enforce Southwest
- 2 disciplinary policies?
  - A. Yes.

1

3

6

- 4 Q. Okay. And is your -- so did you have the
- 5 authority to, to fire flight attendants?
  - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you know if that was set forth in 8 writing anywhere?
  - A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I'm not sure that I asked, for 11 the assistant base managers, what are their roles and 12 responsibilities?
- 13 A. They oversee the supervisors and the 14
- coordinators directly that -- with a team of
- 15 coordinators and supervisors, put up between three of 16 my assistant base managers. And they will make sure
- 17 the daily operation is running smoothly, they will
- 18 watch for any emails that may come in that need to be
- 19 addressed, and/or disseminated to the supervisors for
- 20 follow-up and those type of things.
- 21 Q. Now, if there was a disciplinary incident 22 involving a flight attendant operating out of the
- 23 Denver base, would your assistant base managers have
- 24 authority to investigate those incidents on their
- 25 own?

Page 13 Page 4 of 54 PageID 883

- 1 A. As a team, yes, they would.
- Q. Okay. Without your involvement?
- 3 A. No.

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 4 Q. Okay.
  - A. I have (audio distortion).
- Q. I'm sorry, I was speaking over you. Couldyou say that again.

# A. I have oversight of the investigations in the base.

- Q. Okay. So you would make the initial decision whether or not to conduct an investigation if there was any disciplinary incident?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So if there was some sort of disciplinary incident, before taking any action on it, your, your base manager -- excuse me, assistant base manager would report that to you?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And did your assistant base managers have authority to terminate any flight attendants without your approval?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Not approval, but my awareness, I would have, of what was happening.

- 1 many social media policy violations have you been
- 2 involved in?

3

11

- A. I don't know for sure.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if it would be more than ten?

Page 15

Page 16

- A. I would say it might be close to ten. I
  don't know for sure how many. I haven't counted
  them.
- Q. Okay. Do you have records of any socialmedia policy cases you might have been involved in?
  - A. Not here with me now.
- 12 Q. Okay. But, but you do have them in your 13 office?

# A. They are kept on the shared drive with labor relations. I don't have them specifically, no.

- Q. Okay. But there, there are other cases in
   the Denver region involving -- or there are cases in
   the Denver region of social media policy violations?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you -- I guess, do you recall
   Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if you have ever dealt with any violations of the workplace bullying and hazing policy?

Page 14

- Q. Okay. Was there ever a situation where an assistant base manager conducted most of an investigation and -- that you were not involved in?
- A. Not involved in as far as hands-on assistant awareness, yes, that has happened.
- Q. Okay. How many times has, has that happened?
  - A. I can't begin to even count them.
- Q. Okay. So it's many, it's not just a few times? It's many times?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And who, who were your assistant base managers in 2017?

# A. Meggan Jones, Dustin Moore and Hector Barrera.

- Q. Okay. Now, as part of your responsibilities as base manager, did you ever terminate any employees for violating the social media policy?
  - A. I don't recall doing so.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if you had many disciplinary incidents involving the social media policy?
- A. I did, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, about how many -- about how

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

11

- Q. Okay. About how many of those have you, you experienced since being Denver base manager?
  - A. I can only think of possibly one or two.
- Q. Okay. And one of those was the -- involvedCharlene Carter?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And you think there was one other?
- 9 A. Possibly.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall who, who it was?
  - A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you, do you know if that
- violation resulted in that employee's termination?
  - A. No.
- Q. No, you can't recall or, no, it did not result in termination?
- 17 A. It did not.
- Q. Okay. So the other case did not result intermination?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. And have you -- well, since being --
- becoming Denver base manager, have you dealt with any
   violations of Southwest policy concerning harassment,
- sexual harassment, discrimination and retaliation?
- 25 A. Yes.

	Case 3.17-67-02270-X Document 203-0 1		
	Page 17		Page 19
1	Q. Okay. And how many of those have you dealt	1	be document 7.
2	with?	2	A. Okay.
3	A. Once again, I don't know the exact number.	3	Q. Have you had the chance to read this?
4	Q. Okay. Was it more than ten?	4	A. I have read it in the past, yes. Do you
5	A. No.	5	want me to read it now?
6	Q. Okay. Less than ten.	6	Q. Well, I just want you to you don't have
7	Do you know if it was less than five?	7	to read it out loud. I just want you to, you know,
8	A. Most likely, yes.	8	be familiar with it and
9	Q. Okay. And do you know if any of those	9	A. Okay.
10	resulted in the employee's termination?	10	Q. Okay. You've reviewed it?
11	A. None that I can recall.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And you said you, you don't recall	12	Q. Okay. Do you recognize it?
13	much about Southwest social media policy?	13	A. Yes, I do.
14	A. I didn't say that.	14	Q. And what is it?
15	Q. Oh, okay. So you do, you do recall	15	A. It's the termination letter for Charlene
16	social Southwest social media policy?	16	Carter.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. Okay. And does this letter tell you
18	Q. Okay. And you but you're not sure how	18	which what are the reasons why she was terminated?
19	many employees were disciplined under that policy in	19	A. Yes, it does.
20	the Denver region while you've been base manager?	20	Q. And does it tell you which policies
21	A. No, I don't have an exact number.	21	Southwest said she violated?
22	Q. Okay. Do you know if that's more than ten?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. No.	23	Q. Okay. And does this refresh your memory
24	Q. No, it's not more than ten?	24	about any of the terminations for social media
25	A. Correct, yes.	25	violations that occurred?
	,•		
	Page 18		Page 20
1			
	O Okay Do you know if it was less than	1	A. No.
2	Q. Okay. Do you know if it was less than five?		A. No. O. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was
2 3	five?	2	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was
3	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five	2 3	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?
	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.	2	<ul><li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li><li>A. Yes, I do.</li></ul>
3 4 5	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates</li> </ul>
3 4	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you recall any other</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.  MR. CORRELL: And, Mr. Schneider,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Who were the others that you recall?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	five?  A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Who were the others that you recall?  A. I'm not sure names specifically. But I do
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.  MR. CORRELL: And, Mr. Schneider, that's going to be labeled by a document number that's not the exhibit number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Who were the others that you recall?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.  MR. CORRELL: And, Mr. Schneider, that's going to be labeled by a document number that's not the exhibit number.  And, Counsel, if we can use the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Who were the others that you recall?  A. I'm not sure names specifically. But I do recall cases where they were violated and given disciplined.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.  MR. CORRELL: And, Mr. Schneider, that's going to be labeled by a document number that's not the exhibit number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Who were the others that you recall?  A. I'm not sure names specifically. But I do recall cases where they were violated and given
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I would say most likely it's probably five or six, possibly.  Q. Okay. And let's see, that's five or six employees that were terminated?  A. No.  Q. Oh. Five or six employees that were disciplined?  A. Five or six investigations.  Q. Five or six investigations, okay.  Do you recall how many of those five or six resulted in discipline?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of those investigations resulted in termination?  A. No.  Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could, I'd like to have you review Exhibit 2.  MR. CORRELL: And, Mr. Schneider, that's going to be labeled by a document number that's not the exhibit number.  And, Counsel, if we can use the document numbers, that will be easier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. But you do know Charlene Carter was terminated for violating the social media policy?  A. Yes, I do.  MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates prior testimony.  Q. Okay. But you did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did you decide that Charlene Carter violated Southwest's workplace bullying and hazing policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And do you recall any other employees who well, do you recall any other Denver flight attendants who violated Southwest's social media policy?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Who were the others that you recall?  A. I'm not sure names specifically. But I do recall cases where they were violated and given disciplined.  Q. Okay. How many of those cases do you

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

21

Page 21

1 MR. CORRELL: Objection, asked and 2 answered.

- O. You can answer.
- A. I don't recall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

17

18

19

- Q. Do you recall any details about those cases?
- A. Not at this moment. I know that it had to do with social media and towards other flight attendants.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall what, what those flight attendants who were fired did on social media towards the other flight attendants?
  - A. I don't recall one being fired.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, that's not what I asked. I 15 asked, do you recall what those flight attendants did 16 to other flight attendants on social media?
  - A. It was mostly surrounding any disparaging comments about political or towards their beliefs or opinions.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall any other details?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 Q. Now, when you say "disparaging comments"
- 23 about beliefs or opinions, what kind of beliefs or 24 opinions?
- 25 A. I really don't remember the specifics. I

A. In an entire year, it probably could be between 25 to 50.

- Q. Okay. Now, would those include, those disciplinary cases include coaching counsels?
  - A. No, I'm talking specifically discipline.

Page 23

Page 24

- Q. Okay. And what would the different forms of discipline be?
- 8 A. A letter in their file up to suspension or 9 termination.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you know how many flight 11 attendants in the Denver base are suspended each 12 vear? 13
  - A. No. It's a much smaller number.
  - Q. Okay. In total, do you know how many flight attendants in the Denver region may be terminated each year?
  - A. Once again, I don't know exact numbers.
  - Q. Would it be more than five?
- 19 A. Possibly five to six. Less than ten, for 20 sure. I mean, it depends on the year.
  - Q. And that's terminated for any reason?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, when you have -- when you're 24 dealing with a social media policy violation, are
- 25 there other departments of the company that get

Page 22

- know that when they made their comments, there was a
- 2 Nexus to the Workplace. And that's -- those comments
- 3 and opinions were directly disparaging to the
- 4 company.

5

16

- Q. Okay. And in those cases, what was the
- 6 Nexus to the Workplace?
- 7 A. Their Facebook page had something that 8 identified them as an employee of Southwest Airlines.
- 9 Q. Do you remember what those things were?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you know how many flight
- 12 attendants receive some form of discipline in the
- 13 Denver region each year?
- 14 A. Each year?
- 15 Q. Yes.
  - A. I couldn't even start to imagine how many
- 17 that would be. I couldn't give a direct answer.
- Q. Okay. I mean, do you think that's in the 18
- 19 hundreds?
- 20 A. No, I don't believe it's 100, no.
- 21 Q. You don't believe it's 100?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Do you believe it's more than 50?
- 24 A. Are you asking me of any discipline at all?
- 25 Q. Yes, any discipline at all.

1 involved?

- 2 A. I have resources that I utilize for 3
  - information.
- 4 Q. Okay. So who are the resources that you 5 utilize?
- 6 A. It could be employee relations, or in
- 7 Charlene's case or others, the HRBP, human resource
  - business partner, it is labor relations. To name a
- 9 few.

8

11

- 10 Q. Okay. Are there others?
  - A. Possibly drug and alcohol, if they were --
- 12 if that was the type of case it was.
- 13 Q. Okay. Have you ever conferred with the ACT
- 14 Team over any issue involving a flight attendant in 15 the Denver region?
- 16 MR. CORRELL: Objection, vague.
- Q. You can answer. 17
- 18 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know who the ACT Team is?
- 20 Α. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Okay. All right. Have you ever
- 22 encountered any cases where a flight attendant is
- 23 complaining about religious discrimination in the
- 24 workplace? 25
  - A. I don't recall a case like that.

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 25

Q. Okay. And have you ever had a flight attendant in the Denver region request from the base a religious accommodation?

#### A. I don't recall one.

Q. Okay. Now, when -- when you investigate a social media policy violation, how do you first hear about the social media policy violation? Excuse me, how do you hear about a complaint of a potential

violation?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# A. Usually it is a flight attendant's turning in some type of document or social media post of some sort.

Q. Okay. Does -- is -- are there ever occasions where someone in Southwest management reports it to you?

## A. If it came to them first and it was a Denver based flight attendant, that would be a possibility.

- Q. Okay. Do you know if Southwest monitorsflight attendants' social media activities?
  - A. No.
- Q. No, you don't know or, no, they do not?
- 23 A. They don't, as far as I know.
- Q. Okay. All right. Have, have you had any
- 25 cases where a union executive board member has

have been any more -- well, let me ask it this way:

Page 27

Have there been many social media policy violationsthis year?

MR. CORRELL: Objection, vague, and calls for speculation.

Q. You can answer.

# A. Not that I recall specifically that I dealt with personally.

Q. Okay. When you say not that you dealt with personally, do you know if they were -- if they involved the Denver base?

A. There possibly was one or two that were investigated this year, since the beginning of the year.

Q. Okay. Do you recall any details about those two?

A. Only that they were political in nature due to the society and issues that are going on right now.

Q. And do you know if any flight attendants were disciplined for those incidents?

A. Not from my base, no.

Q. Okay. Do you recall flight attendants from other bases who were disciplined?

A. Possibly. I've heard that they have

Page 26

reported a flight attendant for a social media policy violation?

# A. Not directly to me. But this case is one of them, the Charlene Carter case.

- Q. Do you recall any other cases where that happened?
  - A. No, I do not recall.
- Q. Okay. You don't even recall any cases where it might have involved another base?

## A. Executive board members, no, I don't recall that.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall any situations where maybe some other union official reported a flight attendant with a -- some sort of social media complaint?
  - A. No, I do not recall.
- Q. Okay. Now, is this case the only, only one you do recall?
  - A. I don't understand the question.
  - Q. Is this case the only instance you recall where a union official has reported a flight attendant with a social media complaint?
- A. Yes, this is the only one I can think of at this time.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Do you know if there

Page 28

#### happened.

Q. Okay. What have you heard?

A. Just that it resulted in discipline, but no specifics. I don't know for sure what the discipline was or if it, in fact, for sure happened.

Q. Okay. Do you recall any details about the allegations?

MR. CORRELL: Objection, asked and answered.

10 Q. You can answer.

#### A. No, I do not.

Q. Okay. All right. Do you know if there
 have ever been cases in, say, the last seven years - well, excuse me, let me back up.

So in 2017, did you have any cases where one of your assistant base managers was handling the investigation of a social media incident where they issued some form of discipline?

# A. I don't recall 2017 specifically any case that resulted in discipline.

there, do you recall some cases of alleged social media policy violations that your, your base managers have investigated that you weren't directly involved

Q. Okay. Well, in the time since you've been

25 in?

Page 29 Page 31 1 **A.** Yes. 1 O. You can answer. 2 2 Q. Okay. And what were those investigations? A. I'm not aware of one. 3 A. As far as specific details of it, I don't 3 Q. Okay. 4 know those, but I know that the decision was made 4 MR. CORRELL: And, Counsel, real 5 5 that they did violate social media policy. quick. 6 Q. Okay. And who made that -- those 6 Mr. Schneider, unless I instruct you 7 7 not to answer the question, you can proceed to answer decisions? 8 8 after I've lodged my objection, okay? A. The assistant base manager for Denver. 9 Q. Okay. And who was that? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 10 A. I think it was possibly Dustin Moore. Q. Now, when did you first hear that a flight Q. Okay. Did any of the other base managers 11 attendant had reported Ms. Carter for her Facebook 11 12 make those decisions? 12 posts and messages? A. The assistant base managers? 13 A. Around February of 2017. 13 Q. Yes, I'm sorry, the assistant base 14 14 Q. Okay. 15 managers. 15 A. I'm not sure on the exact date. 16 Q. And do you know who brought those Facebook 16 A. I don't recall any others. 17 Q. Okay. And how many of those investigations 17 posts and messages to your attention? 18 resulted in discipline? 18 A. If I remember correctly, it was sent to the 19 A. What cases are you talking about? 19 Las Vegas base and forwarded. 20 20 Q. The cases that were investigated by the Q. Okay. If I could direct you to Exhibit --21 assistant base managers. 21 I'm sorry, document 1. And if you could review that. A. I don't know the answer specifically. 22 22 And once you've had the chance to review it, let me 23 Q. You don't know how many were involved, how 23 know. 24 many cases were involved? 24 A. I have reviewed it. 25 A. No, I don't. 25 O. Okay. Do you recognize this? Page 30 Page 32 1 Q. Okay. Do you remember the employees who A. Yes. 2 were involved? 2 Q. And what is it? 3 3 A. No. A. It's an email that was sent from Audrey 4 4 Q. Okay. You don't know the names of the Stone to Suzanne Stephensen --5 5 employees? Okav. Q. 6 6 A. Correct. -- regarding her complaint. A. 7 MR. CORRELL: Objection, asked and 7 Okay. And did you receive this complaint, Q. 8 8 answered. as well? 9 9 Q. Do you know if any of your assistant base A. It was forwarded to me, yes. 10 managers have ever handled a religious accommodation 10 Q. Okay. All right. And do you remember who request? 11 11 forwarded it to you? 12 12 A. I don't recall that. A. It was either Suzanne Stephensen, herself, 13 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if any of your assistant or David Kissman. 14 base managers have handled any cases involving 14 Q. Okay. Now, before this email was forwarded 15 complaints of religious discrimination? 15 to you, did you have any other communications about 16 A. No, I don't recall that. 16 the email? 17 17 Q. Okay. Now, has, has another department at A. No. 18 18 Southwest ever contacted you about a religious Q. Okay. Or had you had any communications 19 discrimination issue? 19 about the, the matters raised in, in the email? 20 20 A. Not that I can recall. A. Not prior to receiving this. 21 21 Q. Okay. Or has any department at Southwest O. Okay. 22 ever contacted one of your assistant base managers 22 A. That I'm aware of. 23 about a religious discrimination issue? 23 Q. Okay. Let's see, and if I could direct you 24 24 MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls for to document 4, and I'll point you to a specific page 25 25 number. When you have it up, let me know and I'll -speculation.

3

8

Page 33

1 A. I have it up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. If you go to the page that has the number at the bottom, 4436, Bates labeled 4436. And review that page.

MR. CORRELL: Counsel, are we talking about document 4 or Exhibit 4?

MR. GILLIAM: I'm sorry, it's document 2, document 2.

- A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Okay. And you're on page 4436?
- A. Yes, I am. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. And have you reviewed it?
  - A. One moment, please.
- 14 O. Sure.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. And do you recognize this?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And what is it?
- 19 A. It is an email from Dave Kissman to myself 20 and my assistant base managers concerning the 21 complaint from Audrey Stone, showing the post of 22 Charlene Carter.
- 23 Q. Okay. After you received this email, what, 24 what did you do?
  - A. I began the investigation into looking at

1 A. Okav.

- Q. It, it mentions "the recall." Do you know what "the recall" is?
- 4 A. I remember that there was a group of flight 5 attendants trying to recall the executive board.

Page 35

Page 36

- 6 Okay. And -- I'm sorry, did I interrupt
- 7 vou?
  - A. Ms. Stone.
- 9 Q. Okay. And when did you first learn about 10 the recall?
- 11 A. I don't remember. I had heard rumors about 12 it, but didn't have any details. And during this 13 investigation is when Charlene Carter gave more 14 details about it.
- 15 Q. Okay. Who did you hear about the rumors 16 from?
- 17 A. Other flight attendants making comments 18 about their frustration with the current leadership 19 of the union.
- 20 Q. Were those flight attendants making those 21 communications to you directly in person?
- 22 A. No. I don't remember that.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did these other flight attendants 24 send you emails?
- 25 A. No.

Page 34

- 1 those specific posts and determining next steps. 2
  - Q. Okay. And which specific posts do you mean?
  - A. The ones referred to in the -- on the page, the letter.
    - Q. Okay. In document 1?
    - A. Do you want me to go to document 1?
  - Q. Well, yeah. You're referring to the pictures, though, in document 1?
  - MR. CORRELL: Actually misstates prior testimony.
  - Q. Well, when you said that you were looking at these specific posts, which --
  - MR. CORRELL: The problem is this exhibit is incomplete. It's cut off at the end of 4436. It shows attachments, but the attachments are not included in the exhibit.
  - MR. GILLIAM: Let's see -- right. 4436 is. But if you go back to document 1 -- that's why I'm asking if the specific posts he's referring to are the, the pictures in document 1.
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. And if I could direct your attention to document 1 and page 4228. If I could ask you to review that.

- 1 Q. Okay. Do you know what channels you heard 2 these rumors from?
- 3 A. It was through possibly other leaders, 4 maybe. I don't recall specifics to it. I just know 5 that there was talk around the bases about being 6 frustrated with the leadership in the union.
- 7 Q. Okay. And when you say "other leaders," 8 what do you mean by "leaders"?
- 9 A. I don't recall, is what I'm saying, who it 10 was. It could have been -- or it might have been 11 flight attendants, just as I walk through the lounge,
- 12 having -- because I do speak to a lot of people, 13 flight attendants and leaders, but I can't recall
- 14 specifically.
- 15 Q. Yeah, I understand. I guess what I'm 16 asking is, when you say -- when you use the term "leaders," who are you referring to? 17
- 18 A. Any person in leadership at Southwest 19 Airlines inflight.
- 20 Q. Okay. Anyone in, in inflight management? 21
  - A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. And so a leader would also be a base manager? 23
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. All right. And turning back to

24

Page 37 Page 39 1 document 2, and the page number we were on, 4436, could have been directly sent to Ms. Stone? 2 Dave Kissman's email to you and the assistant base 2 A. Yes, it does. manager says, Ed, give Suzanne a call. Did, did you 3 3 Okay. Did you -- you see it says, my page, 4 call Suzanne after this email? 4 my opinions? 5 5 Yes. Α. A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And what was the subject matter of 6 Q. And comments. 7 7 the conversation? A. Yes, I see that. 8 8 A. Audrey Stone was Las Vegas based at the Okay. And so you think that's something 9 9 that could have been sent directly to Ms. Stone? time. And I needed to find out if there was any 10 10 Yes, it could have been. other communication from Audrey that I wasn't aware 11 11 Okay. Are you -- do you use Facebook a of, any other posts or specifically how she obtained Ο. 12 12 lot? this information. 13 13 A. No. I do not. Q. Okay. And what did Suzanne tell you about 14 Q. Okay. Do you use Facebook at all? 14 how Audrey obtained the information? 15 My wife has Facebook, and she shows me 15 A. The posts that were sent to Suzanne 16 things. But other than that, I'm not real educated 16 Stephensen were from Audrey's personal Facebook page, 17 17 and they were specific posts sent to her directly. on it. 18 Q. Okay. All right. So going -- I'd like to 18 Q. Okay. Do you know if -- and do you know if 19 turn back to document 2 again, the same page we were 19 that was true, that all of the posts were sent to her 20 looking at, and your phone call with Suzanne 20 directly? 21 Stephensen. What, what else did you-all discuss in 21 A. All of which posts? 22 that phone call? 22 Q. Well, the posts referencing your 23 A. I don't remember anything else other than 23 conversation with Suzanne Stephensen. 24 the preliminary information and where it was 24 A. Some were, yes. 25 obtained. 25 Q. Okay. Page 38 Page 40 1 1 Okay. And did you know who Audrey Stone A. The ones that we had at that moment in time 2 2 were, yes. was? 3 3 Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention A. Yes. 4 4 back to document 1 again. And, let's see, page 4232. Q. Okay. Had you met Audrey Stone before? 5 5 6 Q. And let me know once you've reviewed that. 6 Q. Okay. Had you communicated with Audrey 7 A. I've reviewed it. 7 Stone at all? 8 Q. Do you know if this screen shot was sent to 8 A. Not that I remember. 9 Audrey Stone directly? 9 Q. Okay. And so you knew that Audrey Stone 10 MR. CORRELL: Objection, 10 was the Local 556 president? mischaracterizes the exhibit. 11 A. Yes, I did. 11 12 12 Q. Okay. And how did you know that? A. I don't -- I don't know that specifically 13 by looking at this document. 13 A. Through communication, and I was at 14 Q. Okay. I guess, you know, you had said that 14 Southwest Airlines when the election happened. 15 at that time when you talked to Suzanne Stephensen, 15 Q. Okay. And you knew that she was voted in 16 all of the posts that you had viewed were sent 16 as president? 17 17 directly to Ms. Stone. And I'm wondering if this was A. Yes. 18 a post that was sent directly to Ms. Stone or was 18 Q. Okay. And when you say "through 19 this on Charlene Carter's actual Facebook page? 19 communications," through communications with whom? 20 A. I stated that those that were attached to 20 A. There are TWU communications that come out

**Audrey Stone.** 

the email were the ones supposedly sent directly to

Q. Okay. But from looking at this page, which

was one of the screen shots that Audrey Stone first

sent, does it look like this is one that was -- that

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

once in a while, and it has her name on the byline as

Q. Okay. You receive those TWU communications

the president of TWU.

directly?

A. No.

7

Page 41

- 1 Q. Okay. How do you receive those TWU 2 communications?
  - A. They print them and put them in the lounges. And that is mainly the reason -- or way.
  - We have a place where TWU keeps their documents that they want for the flight attendants available.
    - O. Okay. Do you receive those TWU communications in any other way, apart from them being posted in the lounges?
    - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And going back to Dave Kissman's email here, he says, he's in TOPS and can be reached on cell if needed. Did you call Dave Kissman?
- A. At some point during the investigation, I 14 15 did, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know if you called him 17 shortly after receiving this email?
  - A. Most likely, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Do you remember if you, you talked 20 to him after giving Suzanne a call?
- 21 A. I don't remember the specific order, but at 22 some point I did.
- 23 Q. Okay. Do -- what do you remember about 24 your initial call with Dave Kissman? What did you 25 discuss?

- that was out there. Contacting employee relations,
- 2 making them aware that I was beginning an
- 3 investigation, to get their input. And, and then I 4 would reach out to Audrey Stone and try to set up a 5 meeting so we could discuss and get more details.
  - Q. Okay. So how did you go about collecting information?
- 8 A. The documents that Suzanne Stephensen 9 received, and making sure I had those and if there 10 was anything else out there that I had forwarded to 11 me.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to collect 13 information?
- 14 A. I do recall Facebook posts. And we did --15 I did have somebody look at Charlene Carter's 16 Facebook to see if there was anything out there that 17 possibly made a Nexus to the Workplace.
- 18 Q. Okay. Who did you have look at Charlene's 19 Facebook page?
- 20 A. Meggan Jones, my assistant base manager. I 21 believe that labor relations and possibly ER also did 22 some research on that.
- 23 Q. Okay. So did -- when did you ask Meggan to 24 look at Charlene Carter's Facebook page for 25 information?

Page 42

- A. He was aware of the post, he had watched the video and he was pretty disgusted with it.
  - Q. What did he tell you?
- A. He just said simply, like in this part here, that he -- it's very graphic.
  - O. Did he, he tell you to start the investigation during that call?
- A. No. That's a decision that I had made already. But wanted him to be aware that I was starting it.
- Q. Okay. So you made, you made the decision to start the investigation before talking to, to Dave?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. After -- immediately after -- I'm sorry, let me ask this clearly.

Did you make the decision to start the investigation after receiving the email from Dave?

- Α. Yes.
- Okay. All right. So I think you, you mentioned that you -- one of the next things you did was determine the next steps to take with the investigation. So what were the next steps you determined you had to take?
  - A. Collecting as much information as I could

- A. It was early in the investigation. I don't specifically remember.
  - Q. Okay. After your call with Suzanne?
- Α.

2

3

4

8

11

16

- 5 Q. Okay. And did, did Meggan say that she 6
  - would do it, she would go out to the -- Charlene's
- 7 Facebook page and look for information?
  - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And was that communication in person 10 or by email?
  - A. In person.
- 12 Q. Okay. Does Meggan work closely to you?
- 13 A. She's one of my assistant base managers.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, I guess my question is, does she -- is her office close to yours? 15
  - A. Yes, they're in the same vicinity.
- 17 Q. Okay. All right. Do you work next door to 18 each other?
- 19 A. At the time, her office was across the 20 hallway from mine.
- 21 Q. Okay. And what, what information did 22 Meggan Jones find on Charlene's Facebook page?
- 23 MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls for 24 speculation.
- 25
  - Q. Do you know what information Meggan Jones

Page 44

Page 43

5

6

8

13

- 1 found on Charlene's Facebook page?
  - A. There were posts that showed her associated with Southwest Airlines.
    - Q. Okay. And what were those posts?
  - A. Pictures of her wings, pictures of other statements made with Southwest Airlines' logo.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know if those were pictures that Meggan had found?
  - A. I don't, I don't remember specifically who found them. I know that some -- there were several that were given to me.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know if Meggan Jones found some pictures?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 15 Q. Okay. And how do you know that?
- 16 A. She showed them to me.
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you recall what she showed you?
- 18 A. I don't remember details, but it had
- 19 pictures of Charlene Carter and, like I said, logos
- 20 of Southwest Airlines on printed material that were
- 21 on her Facebook page, the wings, and pictures of
- Southwest pilots, if I remember right, and possibly 22
- 23 pictures of herself in uniform.
- 24 Q. Okay. Do you know how soon after asking 25 Meggan to find that information she, she brought it

1 same, same document, document 2, page 4450. Just

Page 47

Page 48

- 2 once you've found it and have had the chance to
- 3 review it, let me know.
- 4 A. Okav.
  - Q. Do you recognize this?
  - A. I have seen it, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what is it?
  - A. It's an email from me to employee
- 9 relations, and I'm asking for their thoughts on any 10 protected categories that may have been violated.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, did you have any communications 12 with employee relations before sending this email?
  - A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. So this was your first time reaching 15 out to employee relations?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it's, I guess, addressed to 18 employee relations DG. Do you know who receives an 19 email at that address?
- 20 A. It is the employee relations investigators, 21 senior investigators.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know how many of those senior 23 investigators there are?
- 24 A. I think there's four or five.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody apart from

Page 46

to you? 1

3

4

7

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 A. It was the same day.
  - Q. Okay. Now, I think Dave Kissman emailed you on February 23rd. Do you know if, if that was --
- 5 that information was collected and given to you on
- 6 February 23rd?
  - A. No, I don't remember that.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Now, I think you, you
- 9 said you also contacted employee relations to get
- 10 their input. Is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And, and why did you want their 13 input?
  - A. I viewed the posts as egregious, and there could have been a violation of our harassment policy, which employee relations oversees.
  - Q. Okay. Now, would employee relations have had any input into other disciplinary violations?
  - A. They're familiar with social media, hazing, bullying, but it's not housed in their area.
  - Q. Okay. So when you reached out to them, you were really seeking their input on whether that, that one policy was violated?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention to

those four or five investigators receive emails at

2 that address?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

- A. I'm not aware of that, no.
- Q. Okay. And you -- I guess you want to know their thoughts on protected categories?
  - A. Yes.
- And what, what is a protected category? MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
- A. As far as I'm aware, it's race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, et cetera.
- 12 Q. So that's -- and that's what you meant by 13 "protected category" when you were asking for their 14 views on it?
  - A. Yes. Among other things as far as harassment would go.
  - Q. Okay. And why did you think that a protected category was involved here?
- 19 A. Simply because it depicted several graphic 20 details and -- of fetuses, and if I recall right, 21 female genitalia, things like that.
- 22 Q. Okay. If -- could I -- so when you, you 23 forwarded the email, did you forward -- excuse me, 24 let me ask it this way: So when you forwarded 25
  - information for their input, the images you sent,

5

6

9

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

6

7

8

9

12

21

22

24

25

Page 49

were they the same images that are in document 1?

- A. It was the images that were attached to that document that Audrey Stone sent to Suzanne Stephensen originally.
- Q. Okay. So I guess your -- was your concern with the protected category, whether Audrey fell within one of the protected categories?
- A. I was not trying to determine that, myself. That's why I reached out to employee relations.
- Q. Okay. And so why -- I guess what, what in those images made you suspect that a protected category was involved?

MR. CORRELL: Objection, asked and answered.

- A. I wasn't sure. I was just reaching out to make sure that I covered all areas.
- Q. Okay. All right. And did you follow up that, that email you sent to employee relations with any phone calls to, to anyone in employee relations?
- A. Not at that time. I wanted to get their input strictly from the information I had.
- Q. Okay. To your knowledge, was this the first time that, that anyone involved in this investigation had communicated with employee relations about this matter?

1 with employee relations before reaching out to Audrey

- 2 Stone in this case?
  - A. Verbally or through email?
- 4 Q. Either way. Any sort of communication.
  - A. Yes. They thought that it was worth investigating and wanted to get more details.
- 7 Q. Okay. And who -- I guess who communicated 8 that to you?
  - A. Denise Gutierrez.
- Q. Okay. So at some point after you sent this email, Denise Gutierrez contacted you?
- 12 A. Correct
- Q. Okay. And do you know if she, she called you over the phone, or did she email you?
  - A. If I recall correctly, she emailed and then we did talk over the phone also.
- Q. Okay. And was it in the phone conversation
  where she said that she thought it was worth
  investigating?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Why, why did she say it was worth investigating?
  - A. Because it possibly violated the harassment, sexual harassment policy.
- Q. Okay. Did she say why it possibly violated

Page 50

1 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. All right. And you do say you wanted to know -- you said, let me know your thoughts on protected categories, et cetera. Was there something else besides protected categories that you were asking them to weigh in on?
- A. Anything that had to do with harassment of employees, protected categories, any of those type of things that fall under their policies.
- Q. Okay. So your -- your concern -- well, you wanted to know whether these images involved harassment of Audrey based off of race, religion or one of those other categories you mentioned?
- A. Yes, their policy involves harassment, sexual harassment, those type. And so sexual harassment was one that I wanted to get weighed in on.
- Q. Okay. Now, I think you might have also said that one of your next steps was reaching out to Audrey Stone.
- A. Once I had discussed with employee relations, it -- I like to partner with employee relations and talk to the complainant and get any details that I didn't have at that time.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know if you had discussions

1 the sexual harassment policy?

- A. Because of the graphic images that were sent to Audrey Stone.
- Q. Did she say it was because they were sentto Audrey Stone?
  - A. No. It would have been any employee.
  - Q. Okay. Well, I guess what I mean, was it -- was it the images themselves that she thought constituted sexual harassment?
- MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls forspeculation.
  - A. I don't recall her specific reasons.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if she gave you any specific reasons?
- A. She possibly could have at that time, but I know that we decided to move forward with setting up a meeting.
- Q. Okay. When Denise Gutierrez told you that it was worth investigating, what did you say?
- A. I agreed with her.
  - Q. Okay. Did you give her your reasons why you agreed?
- A. Most likely, I did.
  - Q. And what were those reasons?
  - A. Because of the egregiousness of the posts.

Page 52

Page 51

5

6

7

12

13

17

18

21

22

23

5

Page 53

1 Q. Okay. And do you recall how long that 2 conversation was?

#### A. No, I do not.

- Q. Okay. So after the conversation is when you reached out to Ms. Stone?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Now, in between that time, did you have any more communications with Denise Gutierrez?
- A. Not that I'm aware of. She just asked me to let her know the time and date of the meeting and when it was set up.
- Q. Okay. And after you spoke with Denise Gutierrez, did you talk to any of your assistant base managers about any of the matters involving Ms. Stone's complaint?
- A. Most likely, because I don't always set up those meetings myself. I have others do it at times. I don't remember in this particular case.
- Q. Okay. Did you talk to all of your assistant base managers about the matters raised in Ms. Stone's complaint?
  - A. I don't recall that. I only recall specifically talking to Meggan.
- Q. Okay. How did you decide which assistant base manager to involve in an investigation?

1 A. She was the same understanding of it, 2 thought process. And so she went to Facebook and 3 tried to locate anything else.

Page 55

Q. Now, do you know how she received the images?

- A. Those were on my computer. And I had printed them out to put in my investigation folder.
- Q. Okay. Okay. Did you -- now, did you ever
   talk to Hector or Dustin about the content of Audrey
   Stone's complaint?
   A. I don't recall specifics discussing with
  - A. I don't recall specifics discussing with them or showing them. But I know that they were aware simply because we work so close together.
- Q. Okay. And did -- what, what did they say to you about the contents of Audrey Stone's complaint?
  - A. I don't recall the conversation with them about the contents.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did 20 MR. CORR

MR. CORRELL: We've been going for about -- I guess we're a little shy of an hour and a half. Can we take a break in the next five, ten minutes?

MR. GILLIAM: Yeah, sure. Now is probably okay. Do we want to do a longer break for

Page 54

- A. I don't. I handle it myself for the most part. But since she is the Facebook person, most adept at it, I reached out to her.
- Q. Okay. So you believed that Meggan was more adept at Facebook than Hector or -- I forget the other guy's name.
  - A. Dustin. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Okay. All right. And so up, up to this point, before -- well, let me, let me back up a bit.

So before contacting employee relations, had you discussed the contents of Audrey Stone's email with Meggan Jones?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And I guess you did say that you -- or you did testify that you, you -- that you asked Meggan to go out to Charlene's Facebook page. What were your other discussions with Meggan about the contents of Audrey Stone's complaint?
- A. Simply that the images were pretty graphic and that I was surprised that those posts were sent to somebody. And I just wanted to know what other posts might be out there. And so that was our discussion.
- Q. Okay. And what -- how did Meggan respond to you?

Page 56

lunch or do we want to do -- what does everybody wantto do?

3 MR. CORRELL: It's only 10:30 for 4 Mr. Schneider, so...

MR. GILLIAM: I'm okay, but --

6 MR. CORRELL: I'm fine coming back for 7 another hour or so after a short break and then do a

lunch unless anybody has an objection.
 THE WITNESS: I'm okay with that.

10 MR. GILLIAM: Okay. That's fine by

11 me.

MR. CORRELL: Okay. So let's take ten and we'll be back here right after.

MR. GILLIAM: Okay. Sounds good.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off record
at 11:23 a.m.

17 (Recess from 11:23 to 11:35)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record at 11:36 a.m.

- Q. Okay, Mr. Schneider, at what point during an investigation do you have to communicate with a flight attendant's union representative instead of talking to the flight attendant directly?
- A. Once we have called the flight attendant and made them aware of the investigation, we tell

20

21

22

2

5

6

8

11

16

23

3

4

5

9

11

14

16

24

25

Page 57

- 1 them that they are allowed to have union
- 2 representation and to please call the union if they
- 3 would like to have that representation. At that
- 4 point we only talk to the union.
  - Q. Okay. And does that approach apply to both the complainant and the person whose conduct is being complained of?
    - A. No, it does not.
- 9 Q. Okay. So you can continue communicating
- 10 with the complainant even if they're not represented?
- 11 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

15

- 12 Q. Okay. All right. So prior to contacting
- 13 Audrey, how many communications had you had with Dave
- 14 Kissman about Audrey Stone's complaint?
  - A. I don't recall.
- 16 Q. Do you know if it was more than one?
- 17 A. Possibly. I don't recall for sure.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you know if you had more than one
- 19 phone conversation with him prior to talking to
- 20 Audrey?
- 21 A. I don't recall.
- 22 Q. Okay. Did, did you have any communications
- 23 with anyone else apart from Dave Kissman or Meggan
- 24 Jones prior to contract -- excuse me. Did you have
- 25 any communications with anybody apart from Dave

- A. I did.
- Q. Okay. And did, did you have any
- 3 communications with Naomi Hudson before contacting

Page 59

Page 60

- 4 Audrev?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with
- 7 Sonya Lacore before contacting Audrey?
  - A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with 10
  - Mike Sims before contacting Audrey?
    - A. I don't remember.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, prior to you contacting Audrey,
- 13 do you know if anyone else in -- involved in the
- 14 investigation contacted Audrey about her complaint? 15
  - A. I don't recall that happening.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Before contacting
- 17 Audrey, how many communications did you have with 18
- Suzanne Stephensen about the matter? 19 A. I only remember the one conversation.
- 20 Q. Okay. And just to make sure that I say it
- 21 clearly, did you have any other communications with
- 22 Suzanne Stephensen apart from the one conversation?
  - A. I don't remember.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, in -- before contacting Audrey
- 25 Stone, did anyone have any communications about the

Page 58

- Kissman, Meggan Jones or other assistant base
- 2 managers or Denise Gutierrez prior to contacting
  - Audrey?

3

4

6

7

8

10

13

20

- MR. CORRELL: Objection, misstates
- 5 prior testimony.
  - A. I made labor relations aware of the investigation.
  - Q. Okay. Who in labor relations did you
- 9 communicate with?
- 11 Okay. And what -- I guess, how did you
- 12 communicate with Maureen?
  - A. The phone conversation.

A. Maureen Emlet.

- 14 Q. Okay. And what did you tell Maureen in 15
- your phone conversation?
- 16 A. That I was beginning an investigation into 17 Charlene Carter, and that it had to do with Facebook 18 posts that were sent to Audrey Stone.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what was Maureen's response?
  - A. She was fine with me continuing and moving
- 21 through the steps and she -- that I can remember -- I
- 22 don't know for sure if she said anything else about
- 23
- 24 Q. Okay. Did you explain the content of those
- 25 Facebook messages and posts?

- issues -- any issues involved with this complaint
- 2 dealing with the union president?
  - MR. CORRELL: Objection, vague.
  - A. I don't understand the question.
  - Q. Okay. In, in all of the communications you
- 6 had before contacting Audrey, did anyone say anything
- 7 about issues with this matter involving the union
- 8 president?
  - MR. CORRELL: Objection, vague.
- 10 A. I don't remember.
  - Q. Okay. I direct you to 4459, let's see,
- 12 still in document 2. Once you've found it and had
- 13 the chance to review it, let me know.
  - A. Okav.
- 15 Q. In -- do you recognize this?
  - A. Yes.
- 17 Okay. And what is it?
- 18 A. It's an email from Denise Gutierrez to me
- 19 after she had time to review the information I sent
- 20 her.
- 21 Q. Okay. And she asks in her email, when is
- 22 our day seven on this issue?
- A. Correct. 23
  - What, what is a day seven? Q.
  - We have seven days to complete an

- 1 investigation from beginning to end. And day seven 2 would be the final day that we would have to render 3 our decision.
  - Q. Okay. And what happens if you have not completed the investigation within seven days?
  - A. You miss the deadline and you cannot issue
  - Q. Okay. And are you, are you able to get extensions on the seven days?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

13

15

16

25

- 11 Q. Okay. And how do you get an extension?
- 12 A. In this case, I don't remember specifics,
- 13 but it seems like Charlene did ask for more time.
- 14 And it seems to me, if I recall correctly, that there
- 15 were one or two extensions done. And I contacted the 16 union to get those completed.
- 17 Q. Okay. So is it correct that you have to 18 get the union's approval in order to get an 19 extension?
- 20 A. It's an agreement between the company and 21 the union.
  - Q. Okay. Have you ever had an investigation where the union would not agree to give an extension?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. What do you recall from that

- 1 Q. Okay. If I could direct you to 7159, still
- 2 document 2. Once you've had the chance to find it

Page 63

Page 64

- 3 and review it, let me know.
  - A. Okav.
    - Q. And do you recognize this?
- 6 A. No, not specifically.
- 7 Okay. You do see that it's an email from
- 8 you?

4

5

19

4

6

7

9

11

14

24

25

- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 O. Okay. And it's addressed to Suzanne
- 11 Stephensen?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And it says, Suzanne, I have talked
- 14 to Audrey and set up a phone discussion tomorrow with
- 15 Denise Gutierrez, and it continues. Do you -- and
- 16 it's dated February 23rd of 2017. So does that
- 17 refresh your recollection as to what point in time 18
  - you may have talked to Audrey?
  - A. It gives me a time frame, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And the phone discussion that's
- 21 referenced, do you know if that is a fact finding? 22 A. It's not specifically a fact finding. We
- 23 use the same document when we take notes. But it's
- 24 more of an information gathering.
- 25 Q. Okay. And so the -- I guess the phone

Page 62

- A. We were at day seven and we asked for more
- information and I needed more time to get that
- 4 information. And the union was unwilling, and so I 5 was forced to make my decision that day.
  - Q. Okay. Do you recall many incidents where the union has denied your extension request?
    - A. No.

investigation?

- 9 Q. Okay. And I should clarify. When you say
  - "no," the union has not denied your extension request
- 11 in many instances? 12
  - A. No, they have not.
  - Q. Okay. Is there only one instance you
- 14 recall of the union denying your extension request?
  - A. One that I can recall, yes.
  - Q. Okay. All right. And were there any
- 17 situations where you may not recall the details, but
- 18 the, the union did still deny an extension request?
- 19 A. I don't remember.
- 20 Q. Okay. All right. Did you ever communicate
- with Toni Hamilton about this matter? 21
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- 23 Q. Okay. Do you know whether this matter had
- 24 been forwarded to Toni Hamilton?
  - A. I don't know that.

- discussion that's referenced here, do you know if
- 2 that was the information gathering you conducted as
- 3 part of your investigation?
  - A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And that information gathering would
  - have been with Audrey Stone?
    - A. Yes.
- 8 Okay. And do you know how many
  - conversations you had with Audrey prior to that
- 10 information gathering?
  - A. No, I do not.
- 12 Q. Okay. But you did have one conversation
- 13 with her, at least?
  - A. To set up the phone discussion.
- 15 Q. Okay. And what did you tell Audrey in that 16 first conversation?
- 17 A. I don't remember specifics on it.
- 18 Okay. Okay. Do you remember what Audrey 19 said to you in the first conversation?
- 20 A. No, I don't recall that.
- 21 Okay. Okay. So you don't recall anything
- 22 about that first conversation. But can you say that
- 23 it was to set up a fact finding?
  - It was to set up the phone discussion, yes.
  - Yes, the information gathering, sorry. I

Page 65 Page 67 Q. All right. And it -- I guess it lists the 1 need to learn the lingo. 1 2 2 Okay. And do you know if you did have employee name, union representative and company 3 3 the information gathering on February 24th? representatives here. Those were the only meeting --4 4 A. I don't know that for sure, if that's what I'm sorry, those were the only persons in attendance? 5 5 this is saving. A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention to, 6 Okay. All right. And were -- did you 7 7 let's see, document 5. And for others, it's Exhibit conduct this meeting by teleconference? 8 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Q. Okay. And was anybody else in your office A. Okay. 10 with you when you held the meeting? 10 Q. And do you recognize this? 11 A. Yes. A. Janet Rhea was in my office with me. 11 12 Q. Okay. Where was Denise Gutierrez? 12 Q. Okay. What is it? 13 A. In -- I don't know for sure. Wherever her 13 A. It's an email to Denise Gutierrez and 14 office or home is, I'm not positive. 14 Maureen Emlet, and attaching the meeting notes from 15 Okay. But she wasn't in your office? 15 Audrev. 16 Α. 16 Q. Okay. And on the notes there's listed a 17 17 Q. And was Audrey Stone in, in your office? date. 18 A. No. 18 On the notes themselves? 19 Okay. Do you know where Audrey Stone was Q. 19 Q. Yes. 20 20 at the time? A. Okay. 21 21 A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know if that's the date that you 22 Okay. And Brett Nevarez wasn't in your 22 O. held the fact-finding meeting? 23 office? 23 A. If it's the date on these notes, yes, 24 24 A. No. that's the day I held it. 25 Q. Okay. Do you know where he was? 25 Q. Okay. Do you know who prepared these Page 66 Page 68 1 1 notes? 2 2 A. It was Janet Rhea, one of my coordinators. Q. Do you know if they were together 3 3 Q. Okay. Now, was Janet Rhea involved in the somewhere? 4 investigation of this case? 4 A. I do not know that for sure, I don't 5 5 A. No. recall. 6 6 Q. Okay. And I have a question. If I could Okay. And did Janet Rhea have any part in 7 7 the decision making in this case? direct you to 4635, still part of the same document, 8 8 towards the bottom of the page, the last block there. A. No. 9 9 Q. Okay. And did, did Audrey have a union A. Okay. 10 10 representative with her on the call? Q. And Audrey refers to the Women's Committee Team. Do you know if that Women's Committee Team is 11 11 She did. 12 Q. Okay. And who was that? 12 a joint committee between Southwest and the union? 13 13 A. I do not know that. A. Brett Nevarez. 14 All right. And do you know if she had told 14 Q. Okay. As, as part of this meeting, what 15 you ahead of time that she would be bringing a union 15 questions did you, did you have that you wanted to ask Audrey? 16 rep? 16 A. I don't recall specific questions, but I 17 17 A. She did not tell me that, that I recall. 18 18 Q. Okay. Do you know if he just joined at wanted to find out how she obtained the video, the 19 some point during the call? 19 graphics, the posts, and if they were sent to her 20 20 directly as a private message, if they were generally A. If I remember correctly at some point, yes, 21 21 when the call started. Because we always ask them as put on a Facebook page and referenced her or what the 22 22 part of our protocol. specifics were. 23 23 Q. Do you know how long information gathering Q. All right. Did you ask her whether she 24 24 also saw any sort of connection to Southwest on the lasted? 25 25 page? A. No idea.

1

3

15

16

- 1 A. I don't remember asking that question.
- 2 Q. Okay. And what did she tell you about how 3 she had obtained the posts?
  - A. They were sent to her in a private message.
  - Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. A Facebook private message.
  - O. Did she say that all of the posts were sent to her in a private message?
  - A. I don't recall that. I know that the graphic ones were.
  - Q. Okay. At any point prior to Charlene Carter's termination, do you know if anybody else complained about Charlene Carter's Facebook posts?
    - A. I don't recall that.
- 15 Q. Okay. And had Charlene ever been 16 disciplined?
  - A. I don't believe she was prior to this.
  - Q. Okay. Now, after -- or do you know if this document here represents the exact notes that Janet took during the meeting?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Okay. And are these an accurate 23 representation of the conversations you had in the
- 24 fact-finding meeting?
- 25 A. The meeting with Audrey Stone?

- A. I had conversations with employee
- 2 relations, and I informed labor relations that I
  - would be conducting a fact-finding meeting with
- 4 Charlene.
- 5 Q. Okay. And after the information gathering 6 with Audrey, did you communicate with anyone else 7 about that meeting?
- A. I most likely informed Dave Kissman also. 8 9 I don't recall the exact steps.
- Q. Okay. Did you communicate with anybody 10 11 else about the information gathering?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, why wasn't Meggan Jones in 14 attendance at the information gathering?
  - A. She was not conducting the investigation, she wasn't a part of that specifically.
- Q. Okay. Now, at any point thereafter did you 17 18 communicate with Meggan Jones about Audrey Stone's 19 information gathering?
- 20 A. I informed her that I was going forward 21 with the meeting with Charlene, and I asked her to 22 take the notes in that meeting for me.
- 23 Q. Okay. And given that Meggan hadn't been 24 involved in Audrey's information gathering, why did 25 you ask her to participate in Charlene's fact

Page 70

- 1 Q. Yeah. 2 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know if you had any -- did you have a chance to make revisions to the notes after Janet took them?
  - A. I read through them to make sure that they were a good representation of the meeting and what was discussed.
  - Okay. Did you make any corrections to her Q. notes?
  - A. I don't remember in this one, making corrections specifically.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Now, had, had you reached any conclusions after holding the information gathering with Audrey?
  - A. I reached a conclusion that I needed to have a meeting, a fact-finding meeting with Charlene.
  - Q. Okay. And so what were your next steps after you held the information gathering with Audrey?
  - A. To contact Charlene and set up a fact-finding meeting with her.
  - Q. And after you, after you held the fact --I'm sorry, the information gathering with Audrey, did
- 24 you communicate with, with anyone else about the fact 25 finding?

1 finding?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

- A. When we have investigations of this magnitude, it's my preference to have another leader in there with me and not a coordinator.
- Q. And so why didn't you have Meggan involved in the first information gathering?
- A. That was simply just gathering information and I just needed somebody to take the notes. I don't recall specifically if Meggan was there that day or not.
- Q. Okay. And when you communicated with employee relations about the information gathering, what, what did you -- who with employee relations did you talk to?
  - A. Denise Gutierrez.
- Q. And what did you tell her about the information gathering?
- 18 A. She was involved with it and simply made my 19 decision known that I wanted to conduct a meeting 20 with Charlene and how she wanted to be involved with 21 it.
- 22 Q. Did she tell you that she wanted to be 23 involved?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - And why did she say she -- well, did she

Page 72

Page 71

Page 73 Page 75 1 tell you why she wanted to be involved? 1 well, scratch that. 2 2 A. Because it had a possibility of violation And so after this meeting, did you 3 of the harassment policy, sexual harassment. 3 reach out to the HRBP? 4 Q. Okay. And Denise was at the information 4 A. Which meeting are you referring to? 5 5 Q. I'm sorry, after the information gathering gathering with Audrey, correct? 6 6 with Audrey Stone, did you reach out to the HRBP? A. Correct. 7 7 A. I recall at some point I did, to let her Q. Do you know if you talked to her 8 8 immediately after that meeting? know when the meeting would be. 9 9 Q. Okay. Which meeting? A. I don't remember. 10 10 A. The fact-finding meeting with Charlene. Q. Okay. And do you remember whether that 11 Q. Okay. And is there a specific HRBP who you 11 communication was in person or by email? 12 would have known to reach out to, or do they -- does 12 A. No, it was on the phone. 13 that group decide for itself who is going to be 13 Q. Okay. What else, if anything, do you 14 involved in your investigation? 14 remember telling Denise in that phone call? 15 A. No, inflight has one specific HRBP. It's 15 A. If I remember correctly, we had discussed 16 **Edie Barnett.** 16 not only harassment, but possibly bullying and hazing 17 Q. Okay. So you, you reached out to Edie 17 policy violation. And that was not in her area of Barnett sometime between the information gathering 18 18 expertise. 19 with Audrey and the fact finding with Charlene? 19 Q. Okay. And what did you tell Denise about 20 A. Correct. 20 the connection to the bullying and hazing policy? 21 Q. Do you know if you communicated with her by 21 A. We had discussion on that, and that falls 22 phone, email, or in person? 22 more under our HRBP than employee relations. 23 A. I don't recall specifically, but I'm pretty 23 Q. So did you determine that you had to get 24 sure it was email, possibly. 24 the HRBP involved? 25 Q. Do you know if you had multiple 25 A. Yes. Page 74 Page 76 1 Q. Okay. Now, had the HRBP been involved up conversations with Ms. Barnett prior to Charlene's 2 2 to that point? fact finding? 3 3 A. No. A. No. 4 4 O. Okay. At what point did you believe that O. Okay. No, you didn't, or no, you don't 5 the bullying and hazing policy was implicated in this 5 recall? 6 6 matter? A. I don't recall having multiple. 7 A. After the information gathering from Audrey 7 Q. Okay. All right. And did you speak with 8 8 anyone besides Denise Gutierrez and employee Stone. 9 9 Q. And what about the information gathering, I relations about the information gathering? 10 10 guess, caused you to think that that might be Your question was did I speak to anybody 11 involved here? 11 else in employee relations other than Denise? 12 A. The graphic nature of it and also that it 12 Yes. About the information. 13 was not a one-time instance of her contacting Audrey 13 No, I don't recall speaking to anybody Α. 14 Stone. 14 else. 15 15 Q. Okay. Now, had Charlene Carter ever sent Q. Okay. You didn't have any communications the -- I guess the, the aborted fetus images to 16 16 with anyone else of any sort? 17 Audrey prior to this incident? 17 A. Not that I recall. 18 MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls for 18 Q. Okay. And I think -- did you say that you 19 19 speculation. also communicated with somebody in labor relations 20 20 A. I don't know that. after the information gathering with Audrey? 21 21 Q. Okay. But this was the first time you had A. Yes. 22 heard of those images being sent to Audrey Stone by 22 Okay. And who was that? 23 Charlene? 23 A. Maureen Emlet. 24 24 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And what did you tell Maureen? 25 25 Q. Okay. So when you say that this had --A. That I felt we needed to have a meeting

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

2

3

4

7

17

22

23

Page 77

#### 1 with Charlene and that I was going to set it up.

- Q. Okay. Did you tell Maureen which policies might have been at issue based off the conduct involved?
  - A. We discussed that, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And what did -- which policies did you discuss?
- A. The bullying, hazing policy and the harassment policy, as well as the social media policy.
- Q. Okay. At what point did you feel that the social media policy might be implicated by the conduct in this complaint?
- A. Because of the information we gathered indicating the Nexus to the Workplace.
- Q. Okay. And at what point did you, I guess, start considering that there might have been a social media policy violation?
- A. When I learned that this was on social media also.
- Q. Okay. All right. What, if anything, did you tell Dave Kissman when you communicated with him after the information gathering?
- A. Simply that I felt we needed to go forward with the investigation and conduct a fact-finding

- 1 involving these policies?
  - A. I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. Now, at, at this stage after the information gathering, did you ask Maureen her opinion on whether you should proceed to the fact finding?

# A. No. Just sharing information. And I came to that conclusion myself.

Page 79

Page 80

Q. Okay. And when you talked to Dave Kissman, did you ask his opinion as to whether you should proceed to the fact finding?

# A. Same type of thing, we just discussed the details and let them know that I was proceeding.

- Q. Okay. And apart from those communications, did you have any communications with anyone else about events continuing up through the information gathering with Audrey?
  - A. I don't remember having those.
- Q. Okay. After the information gathering, did you have any communications with, with Audrey about the information gathering?
  - A. I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with Brett Nevarez during the investigation outside of the information gathering?

Page 78

- 1 meeting with Charlene.
- 2 Q. And what was Dave's response?
- 3 A. He agreed.
- Q. Okay. Did -- now, do you know if you called Dave or did Dave call you after the
  - information gathering?
    - A. I don't recall specifically.
  - Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, was this a phone call?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. All right. And as part of that conversation, were there any other communications about this matter?
    - A. Not that I can recall.
  - Q. Okay. And how about your, your communication with Maureen, was there any other communication about other aspects of this matter?
    - A. Only discussing the policies involved.
  - Q. Okay. Did -- what did she say about the policies that you mentioned?
  - A. She agreed that there was potential for harassment, sexual harassment, the social media policy or bullying and hazing policy violations.
  - Q. And in this conversation did Maureen mention any other cases that she was involved in

1 A. No.

- Q. Okay. So was your next step to hold the fact finding with Charlene?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember when you, you had that fact finding with Charlene?
  - A. I don't remember the specific dates, no.
- Q. Okay. Now, I guess another question, I
   guess, does the human resources business partner, the
- HRBP, make a decision as to whether the bullying andhazing policy is violated?
- 12 A. They give me feedback on whether they feel 13 it was violated, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, if the HRBP determines that
   it's not violated, could you still issue discipline
   for the violation of that policy?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm sorry -- well, okay. Or maybe a better way to ask it, if the HRBP determines there is not a violation, could you still decide that, yes, there is a violation?
  - A. We would come to that conclusion together, it would be a consensus.
- Q. Okay. But I guess do, do you have the authority to, to come to a different conclusion, if

Page 81 Page 83 1 HRBP would disagree with you, could you independently 1 A. No, it's not allowed. 2 2 decide that it was a violation? Q. Okay. And it -- this -- I guess at the top 3 A. I could, but I don't know for sure if I 3 of the fact-finding notes, it lists who was in 4 4 attendance. And does that accurately say who, who would in this situation. 5 5 all participated in the meeting? Q. Okay. Let's see, if I could refer you to 6 document 9. Once you find it and review it, let me 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. Okay. Now, did -- were Charlene and Chris know. 8 8 in your office for this meeting? A. Okav. 9 9 A. Yes, they were. Q. And do you recognize this? 10 10 The email, yes. Q. Okay. And the notes say, conferenced in 11 via phone, Denise Gutierrez and Edie, Edie Barnett --11 Q. Okay. And what is it? 12 A. This is an email from me to Maureen Emlet 12 Edith Barnett. They -- so they were not present, 13 13 correct? and Denise Gutierrez, indicating information that 14 Charlene brought into the meeting to present to us. 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And do you recognize the pages that follow? 15 Q. Okay. And I want to step back a second. 16 The fact-finding meeting notes with 16 After the investigation gathering meeting with 17 Charlene? 17 Audrey, did you determine that you needed more 18 18 information regarding Facebook posts and social media O. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 posts? 20 20 Q. Okay. And do you know if these were -- if A. I'm not sure the sequence of events, but 21 this is the final version of the notes? 21 during that time, I asked for more Facebook posts. I 22 22 A. As far as I'm aware, looking at it right don't know if they came before or after our meeting. 23 23 Q. Okay. Do you recall Denise Gutierrez now, yes. 24 24 O. Were there different drafts of these notes? seeking more information on Facebook posts that were 25 25 A. Not that I'm aware of. made? Page 82 Page 84 1 Q. Okay. Now, did -- okay, did you take any Yes. A. 2 2 of your own notes for the fact-finding meeting? Okay. 3 3 A. I did take some notes, yes. MR. GILLIAM: I'd like to mark the 4 4 Q. Okay. Did, did you produce those in next exhibit. It will be document 20. So I'm not 5 response to Ms. Carter's discovery request? 5 sure which exhibit we are on now. Is it 13? No. 6 6 A. When I take notes, I get notes back from my 14? 7 7 note taker, I incorporate them into those notes and MR. CORRELL: I believe we are on 14, 8 8 those are the only ones that I have. Counsel. 9 9 Q. Okay. When you say your "note taker," MR. GILLIAM: Okay. 10 you're referring to an individual? 10 So, yeah, if we could mark document 20 A. Yes. In this case, Meggan Jones. 11 11 as Exhibit 14. 12 Q. Okay. So did Meggan Jones take the only 12 (Exhibit 14 marked) 13 13 notes that exist for this fact-finding meeting? O. Mr. Schneider, that will be document 20. A. She took notes during the fact-finding 14 14 A. Document 20? 15 meeting. And once she completed them, she sent them 15 Q. Yes, sir. Have you found it? 16 16 to me and I incorporated my notes into them. So, A. No, I have not. 17 17 yes, it's the one document. MR. CORRELL: And, Mr. Schneider, to 18 Q. Okay. Okay. So you incorporated your 18 help you, that will be the very last document I sent 19 notes into this document? 19 you. I believe it's by itself in its own email. 20 20 A. Correct. A. Got it, okay. 21 21 Q. Okay. Do you know if this fact-finding If you want to just take a moment to look 22 meeting was recorded? 22 over that. Just let me know once you've reviewed it. 23 A. No, it was not. 23 A. Okay. 24 Q. Okay. Are, are fact findings ever 24 And do you recognize this? Q.

25

recorded?

25

Vaguely.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

22

23

24

25

that.

Page 85

Q. Do you, do you know what it is?

### A. It's an Email from Denise Gutierrez to Audrey Stone. It looks like clarifying some information.

Q. Okay. And are these -- well, Audrey says in her email to Denise, Suzanne, you and Brett, below are screen shots of every message Charlene Carter has sent me via Facebook at your request. There are a lot and span the last two years.

And you -- I think you may have said earlier that -- and please correct me if I'm wrong, because I don't want to misstate anything -- that one of the reasons why you considered a bullying and hazing policy violation is that this didn't involve one instance, it involved more than one instance.

#### A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Are, are these the repeated communications that gave rise to your concern about the violation of the bullying and hazing policy?

#### A. They seem to be, ves.

Q. Okay. And in that same email that's, I guess, midway through the first page here, I guess the end of the last paragraph in Audrey's email, it says, also very concerning is repeated references regarding Brian Talbert's termination and subsequent

regarding Brian Talbert's termination and subsequent reinstatement, did that raise any concerns for you?

### A. Not specifically, no.

Okay. And you say "not specifically." In any way did it raise any concerns for you?

### A. Only in the fact that she mentioned it here. But it had nothing to do with this case.

Q. Okay. Let's see, I'd like to switch back to -- let's see which document. Document 9. MR. GILLIAM: And, Mr. Schneider,

10 11 anytime you want to take a break for lunch, you just 12 let me know, you just stop me. 13

THE WITNESS: I'll let you guys decide

MR. GILLIAM: Okay.

MR. CORRELL: Counsel, I was thinking about 10, 15 more minutes, unless you're at a good stopping point. I'm happy to do it whenever.

MR. GILLIAM: Now is probably as good a stopping point as any, if you wanted to break.

MR. CORRELL: 45 minutes about right?

22 MR. GILLIAM: I think that's about 23 right for me, if that's okay with others. If anybody

24 else needs a little more time, that's fine too. 25 MR. CORRELL: I think that will do.

Page 86

Page 88

Page 87

```
reinstatement.
2
      A. Are you on a specific page?
```

Q. Yeah. The first page of, of document 20, it's 529, is the Bates label number.

#### A. Okav.

Q. And I guess my, my question is, Audrey says, very concerning is repeated references regarding Brian Talbert's termination and subsequent reinstatement. And my question is, do you, do you know what Audrey Stone's concern was there that she referenced?

A. No. But Brian Talbert is not in the Denver base. That must have been another base.

Q. Okay. Do you, do you know who he is?

A. I know of him, yes.

Q. Okay. How do you know of Brian Talbert?

A. He is Phoenix based, I think, still. I'm not sure at this time. But when I was in Phoenix, he was based there.

Q. Okay. And you, you knew him personally through your work in Phoenix?

A. Just knowing him like I know -- or are familiar with most flight attendants or -- or a lot of flight attendants.

Q. Okay. And the, the repeated references

So it's 12:35 now, so we'll be back in about 45

2 minutes.

3 MR. GILLIAM: Okay.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off record

5 at 12:36 p.m. 6

(Recess from 12:36 to 1:24)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 8 record at 1:24 p.m.

Q. Let's -- one moment.

All right. Mr. Schneider, I just had a few questions for clarification before moving on. I wanted to direct your attention back to document 20, which is also Exhibit 14. Once you've found it, let me know.

15 A. Okay.

Q. Do you have it?

17 A. Yes, I do.

18 Q. Okay. And Suzanne Stephensen is included 19 on these emails between Denise Gutierrez and Audrey 20 Stone. Was Suzanne Stephensen involved in the 21 investigation at that point?

A. Not from my perspective. Audrey Stone is Las Vegas based, and Suzanne Stephensen is the base manager for Las Vegas. That's probably why she was cc'd on those.

- 1 Q. Okay. Do you know if Suzanne Stephensen 2 was conducting any, any investigation on her own?
  - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And did you ask Suzanne to help you with any aspect of the investigation?
  - Only sending me what she had from Audrey.
  - Okay. And I'm sorry to speak over you.

I guess after you had that

communication with Suzanne, you did not ask her to participate in the investigation from any point -- or excuse me, let me ask that a different way.

So after you had that communication with Suzanne, Suzanne did not participate in your investigation in any way?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with her to keep her updated on the investigation?
  - A. I don't recall that.
- 19 Q. Okay. Okay.

20 Next I want to direct your attention

- 21 to document 2. And let's see, it will be, I think
- 22 Bates number 4277. It will actually be 4277 through 23 4279.
- 24 Okay, I'm there. A.
- 25 Q. Okay. And once you've had a chance to read

1 Q. Okay. And how many extensions did you, you 2 request here?

Page 91

Page 92

3 A. It seems like two. I don't recall 4 specifically.

- 5 Q. Okay. All right. And you -- I think,
- 6 let's see, if I can direct you to 4278 and 4279, the
- 7 last email there that carries over between the two
- 8 pages.

9

- A. Okay.
- 10 Q. And have you had the chance to look that 11 one over?
- 12 A. Let me just look at the bottom part.
- 13 Q. Sure.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. And I think you say -- the email states, if 16
- you would like to have union representation in the 17 meeting, you may do so by calling TWU 556. Do you
- know if Charlene had a -- ended up having a union 18
- 19 representative?
- 20 A. In her meeting or do you mean in Dallas?
- Q. I guess did she have union representation 21 22 in this matter?
- 23 A. Yes. It would be required if she wanted 24 the extension because she wasn't going to be in town.
- 25 Q. Okay. So I think she -- in her email on

Page 90

- over them, let me know.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Do you recognize these?
- A. I vaguely remember them. 4
- 5 Q. Okay. What are they?
  - A. It's a discussion through email with
- 7 Charlene Carter about obtaining an extension of time
- 8 limits.

6

- 9 Q. Okay. And is she asking for an extension?
- 10 A. It looks to be that when we called her for
- 11 the meeting, she was not available until a certain
- 12 time. So, yes, she let us know, and we -- looks like
- 13 I directed her to call the union at some point to
- 14 start the extension process.
- 15 Q. Okay. I direct your attention on -- to 16 4277.

21

- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. And the second email down. 18
- 19
- That's your email to Charlene, correct? 20 Q.
- 22 Q. And you say, you will need an extension of
- 23 time limits?
- 24 A. Since she couldn't be there at a specific
- 25 time, if I remember correctly.

- 1 4278, it -- she says, I have already been in contact
  - 2 with Chris Sullivan and he will represent me in this
  - 3 meeting. And I guess before continuing on -- well,
  - 4 no, then your -- I guess your follow-up email --
  - 5 let's go there. And you say, I understand Chris will
  - 6 be representing you in the meeting, but that was
  - 7 different. So are there two senses of union --
  - 8 having a union representative here?
  - 9 A. There is a local representative that would 10 be in the meeting with her.
  - 11 Q. Okay.
  - 12 A. And there is a representative from TWU in
  - 13 Dallas who oversees the investigation for the flight 14 attendant.
  - 15 Q. Okay. Do you know who the representative 16 was in Dallas?
  - 17 A. No, I don't recall who it was at that time.
  - 18 I work with a lot.
    - Q. Okay. Do you recall talking to
  - 20 Ms. Carter's representative in Dallas?
  - 21 A. I don't recall specifically, no.
  - 22 Q. Okay. Is it possible you didn't speak to
  - 23 the union representative in Dallas?
  - 24 A. I would need to speak with them to get the 25 extension. Or one of my assistant base managers may

5

6

9

16

17

20

2

3

6

19

21

24

Page 93

#### have spoken with them if I was out of the office.

- Q. Okay. Do -- would you have to communicate with the union representative in Dallas about other aspects of the investigation?
- A. Meeting times and dates and extensions, any correspondence necessary with the flight attendant.
- Q. Okay. Do you communicate with the union representative about any, I guess, substantive matters, about the merits of the complaints and the investigation?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Can you communicate directly with the flight attendant about those matters?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. So who do you communicate with about the, I guess, the merits of the, of the complaint?
  - A. That takes place in the fact-finding meeting.
    - Q. Okay. With the local representative?
- 20 A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. And -- now, in this email, Charlene says that she's been in contact with Chris Sullivan
- 23 and he will represent her in this meeting. Did you 24 have any communications with Chris Sullivan?
  - A. I don't remember having communication with

1 Q. Do you know who conducted that 2 investigation?

- 3 A. No, I don't remember.
  - Q. Okay. Would it have been one of your assistant base managers?

Page 95

- Yes.
- 7 Okay. Do you know if that resulted in 8 termination?
  - A. I believe so.
- 10 Q. And if it involved termination, you would 11 have had to authorize that, correct?
- 12 A. Not authorize it. I would be aware of it.
- 13 Oh, so one of the assistant base managers 14 could terminate Kendall Foss without your 15 authorization?
  - A. Yes. They, they make the decision on how they conduct the investigation.
- 18 Q. Okay. And do you know what she was 19 terminated for?
  - A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. Okay. All right. Let's see, if I could 22 direct your attention back to document 9. And if you
- 23 need to review that again -- you may have reviewed it 24 earlier, but we've jumped around a bit, so...
- 25 Just let me know when you're ready and

Page 94

him prior to the meeting. There normally isn't.

Q. Okay. All right. Also, on 4277, the bottom email there, and the second line of the email says, Hector just called me about this meeting, as well, and I relayed that, as well, to him. Do you recall if Hector Barrera contacted Charlene about setting up the fact-finding meeting?

- A. I don't remember that specifically. But it does happen sometimes, like I said, when I'm out of the office.
- Q. Okay. Was, was Hector involved in this investigation at, at this stage? Did he get involved at this stage?

MR. CORRELL: Objection, asked and answered.

- A. I may have only asked him to set up the meeting for me.
- Q. Okay. Okay. Earlier we were talking about some of the -- I guess the complaints and violations of the social media policy, the hazing and bullying policy and harassment policy in the Denver area. Do you remember a violation of any of those policies involving a flight attendant named Kendall Foss?
- A. I'm vaguely familiar. I didn't conduct that investigation.

Page 96

- you've had a chance to look at it.
- A. Okav.
- Q. Okay. So on 4676, towards the bottom,
- 4 Charlene says, I'm Christian, I'm a conservative and
- 5 pro-life. Do you see where I'm --
  - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And then she says, this happens to
- be a huge issue for me and I get the message out
- 9 wherever I can. And then on the next page, she
- 10 continues, I think about three or four lines down on
- 11 the next page, she says, I had an abortion and I
- 12 regret every bit of it, so I work with other pro-life
- 13 groups. And for me, as a Christian, if I can get the
- 14 word out in any way to every group as possible to
- 15 touch the issue, I do. Do you recall her saying that 16
  - at the hearing?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And did you make any inquiries as to
  - whether Charlene needed a religious accommodation,
- 20 based on those comments?
  - A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. And why not?
- 23 A. That would be up to her to ask for that.
  - And that would be something that would go through the
- 25 **ACT Team.**

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

20

5

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 97 1 Q. Okay. And the ACT Team never contacted you 2 2

3 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

25

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And you didn't report it to the ACT Team, correct?

A. Report what exactly?

about that specific issue, correct?

O. Those comments.

A. No, I didn't see a reason to do that.

Q. Okay. Let's see, then if I could direct you to 4679, same document.

A. Okay.

Q. And there's a statement here attributed to you, when you were posting on your Facebook page, are you aware of other posts on there that would connect you to Southwest Airlines? And I think what follows is some discussion about some pictures that were shown there. This says, shows pictures of Charlene

18 at work in her uniform. And then one is referenced 19 with a Southwest logo that says, give Herb his old

20 job back. Do you remember those pictures?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know if those were the pictures that Meggan Jones had found towards the, I guess,

24 beginning of the investigation?

A. I believe so, yes.

to what he knows on those topics.

MR. GILLIAM: Well, he didn't remember very much, so --

MR. CORRELL: Correct.

MR. GILLIAM: -- this will refresh his

Page 99

Page 100

memory.

MR. CORRELL: You can ask him the question over and over again, but the answer is still going to be he doesn't remember. This isn't, this isn't a refreshing document that shows him new information. It's talking about Charlene Carter's fact finding. So I'm trying to figure out how many times you're going to ask this question.

14 MR. GILLIAM: Well, it's -- I think 15 it's slightly different, as well.

Q. But, Mr. Schneider, you can answer.

17 A. I don't recall.

18 Then if I could direct your attention to 19 4690, then the second block down.

21 And you say, you go into different things, 22 Brian Talbert, which should have been something that

23 was confidential as far as that investigation goes.

24 I think earlier -- and correct me if

25 I'm wrong. But you may have said, in referring to

Page 98

Q. Okay. Do you know the, the date those

2 pictures were posted? 3 A. Not specifically, no.

Q. Okay. Do you have a general idea?

A. No, not at this time, I don't remember.

Q. Okay. Do you know how old those were?

7 A. No.

> Q. Do you know how readily visible those pictures were on her Facebook page?

A. If Meggan found them, then they were on the page for Charlene.

Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention to 4680. And midway down, there's a statement there attributed to you that says -- that starts with, your Facebook post, there's a connection. Do you see where I'm referring?

A. Okay.

Q. Okay. And it says, you can't have your political views with Southwest as part of your depiction there. Do you know if you have ever fired another flight attendant for posting political views on social media?

MR. CORRELL: Objection, asked and answered. Counsel, we've already been through his entire issue of discipline. He's already testified

Audrey's email, where she brings up her concern about

2 Brian Talbert, that it wasn't a concern -- or not

3 related to the investigation. Did your view change

4 here in the fact finding?

A. No.

6 Q. Okay. But is it fair to say that you --7 your opinion was that it was something that should 8 have been confidential?

9 A. To Brian Talbert and his union rep, not to 10 flight attendants. Should not have been available to 11 flight attendants, it should have been kept 12 confidential is my point.

Q. Okay. Was that part of your consideration 13 14 for discipline here?

A. No.

16 Q. And so after you concluded this meeting, 17 what were your next steps?

A. To wait for employee relations and/or the HRBP to determine if they feel like there was a violation and then move from there --

Q. Okay.

A. -- towards decision making.

Q. All right. And immediately after the meeting, did you have any -- had you reached any conclusions as to what -- how you were going to

4

5

8

9

14

21

5

9

18

19

20

21

24

1 decide this matter?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Not at -- directly after. I had some more investigation to do, and she presented some information that I had to look into.
- Q. When you said "she" presented some additional information, who was that?
  - A. Charlene Carter.
- Q. Okay. What did she present that you had to look into?
- A. The information that we talked about in these notes.
  - Q. What information is that?
- A. Anything that she brought forward. I needed to make sure that I did a thorough investigation of anything that she presented as part of my investigation.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I don't know specifically. I can't remember details of every part of it.
- Q. Do you know if she brought you any additional information?
- A. She -- just the information that she shared about what she had been through, her thoughts and processes and presenting that information to me.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know if your attorneys

1 finding?

2 A. I don't recall that. I don't remember for 3 sure.

Page 103

Page 104

- Q. Okay. After this fact finding was concluded, did you talk to Meggan Jones about the
- 6 fact finding?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And what did you discuss with Meggan Jones?
- 10 A. Any discussions in the fact finding, she 11 was working on the notes and we discussed the notes.
- 12 Q. What else did you discuss with Meggan 13 Jones?
  - A. I don't recall.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with 16 Dave Kissman after the fact finding?
- 17 A. At some point, yes. I don't know for sure 18 how soon it was.
- 19 Q. Okay. What, what communications did you 20 have with Dave Kissman after the fact finding?
  - A. I don't recall specifically what it was.
- 22 Q. Do you recall general themes of your 23 conversation?
- 24 A. Just letting him know that -- my plans to 25 research as much as I could, continue the

Page 102

presented that information or if they --

MR. CORRELL: Hold on, hold on.

Mr. Schneider, I don't want you to testify about any communications you've had with us in response to any question by counsel.

THE WITNESS: Say that one more time, I'm sorry, Mike.

MR. CORRELL: In responding to

- Mr. Gilliam's questions, please do not convey any
- 10 communications you've had with me, the lawyer who was
- 11 involved at the arbitration or any in-house counsel
- 12 for Southwest Airlines.
  - Q. And, and my question is, again, without, you know, revealing any of your privileged communications, did you produce any of the -- all of the information you had received from Charlene Carter after the fact-finding meeting?
  - A. I was simply talking about the notes and any information in those notes.
  - Q. Okay. Are you -- when you say "the notes," you mean the fact-finding meeting notes?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, I'm confused. Did you -- did she send you more information after the fact finding that was not reviewed in the fact

- investigation and the possibility of discipline.
- 2 Q. Did he -- did Dave Kissman have any 3 recommendations for you in your communications after 4 the fact-finding meeting?
  - A. I don't remember.
- 6 Q. Do you remember how many communications you 7 had with Dave Kissman after the fact finding? 8
  - A. Can you say the question one more time.
  - Q. Yeah. Do you remember how many
- 10 communications you had with Dave Kissman after the 11 fact finding?
- 12 A. No, I don't.
- 13 Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with
- 14 Naomi Hudson after the fact finding?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you have any communications with Mike 17 Sims after the fact finding?
  - A. I don't remember.
  - Q. Okay. Did you have any communications with Sonya Lacore after the fact finding?
    - A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. Now, after the fact finding, you
- 23 said you waited for employee relations to first
  - contact you about their assessment as to whether
- 25 there was a violation of the sexual harassment

Page 105 Page 107 1 1 policy? decision. 2 2 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And apart from that resource, what 3 3 other factors did you consider in reaching your Q. Okay. And you also waited for the human 4 4 resources business partner to reach out to you as to conclusion? 5 5 whether there was a violation of the bullying and A. The social media policy, the 6 hazing policy? 6 hazing/bullying policy. 7 A. Yes. 7 Q. Well, let me ask the question this way -- I 8 Q. Okay. And did the human resources business 8 mean, just speaking specifically about the bullying 9 partner follow up with you? 9 and hazing policy, did you adopt her determination as 10 10 to that specific policy as your own? A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And the human resources business 11 A. I used her decision to help me in 12 partner is Edie Barnett, correct? 12 determining my decision that that violation was part 13 A. Correct. 13 of the discipline. 14 Okay. And did Edie Barnett call you? 14 Q. Q. Okay. Did you have reasons independent 15 I don't remember specifically if she called Α. 15 from Ms. Barnett's recommendation that Ms. Carter's 16 16 conduct violated the bullying and hazing policy? me. 17 Q. Okay. Is it possible she talked to you in 17 A. The information that was presented in both 18 person? 18 meetings from Audrey Stone and Charlene Carter. 19 A. No. 19 Q. Okay. Now, how did you reach a conclusion 20 Q. Okay. So she called you or emailed you? 20 that there was a violation of the social media 21 21 policy? 22 Q. Okay. And what did Edie Barnett tell you 22 A. Through discussions, the same thing, the 23 when she contacted you? 23 Nexus to the Workplace and the information that was 24 A. It was determined that there was a 24 posted on Facebook, and my discussions with labor 25 violation of the Southwest bullying/hazing policy. 25 relations, as well as the information that was given Page 106 Page 108 1 1 Q. And do you know specifically which to me in the meetings. 2 2 individuals were involved in making that Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with labor 3 3 relations about whether there was a violation of the determination on behalf of human resources? 4 4 A. The name of the person? Is that what social media policy after the fact-finding meeting? 5 5 you're asking me? A. 6 6 Q. Yes. I'm asking you if -- which, which Q. Okay. And what were those discussions? 7 individuals with human resources made the, the 7 A. Simply that it did provide the Nexus to the 8 determination that there was a violation of the 8 Workplace, as well as the egregious posts that were 9 9 policy? on her Facebook page that other people could see. 10 10 Q. Okay. And the person in labor relations A. Edie Barnett. 11 11 that you had that discussion with was Maureen Emlet; Q. Okay. Do you know if Edie Barnett reached 12 that decision on her own? 12 is that correct? 13 A. No, I do not know that. 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. Okay. And so what, what specifically did 14 Q. Okay. Did you have that discussion with 15 15 anyone else in labor relations? Edie Barnett communicate to you about the violation 16 of the bullying and hazing policy? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 17 A. Anything other than there was a violation, Q. Okay. And what opinion did Maureen Emlet 18 have that she communicated to you as to a violation I don't specifically remember. 18 19 19 of -- as to whether there was a violation of the Q. Okay. And what was your reaction to that 20 20 information? social media policy?

A. That that was part of my investigation, my

A. I used it as a resource for me making the

determining if there was a violation.

Q. Okay. And did you just accept that

conclusion and decide to adopt that conclusion?

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

violation.

information was?

A. That we had pretty solid information on a

MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls for

Q. Okay. And what did she believe the solid

Page 109 Page 111 1 speculation. 1 Q. Okay. And what was Denise Gutierrez's 2 2 Q. Did she tell you what the solid information decision? 3 3 A. I don't remember specifically, but I know was? 4 4 that it supported sexual harassment, possibly, in A. Not that I recall outside of the fact that 5 5 this case. I'm not sure without seeing the document she made Facebook posts and there was a Nexus to the 6 6 or the email. Workplace. 7 7 Q. During those discussions, did Maureen Emlet Q. Okay. At any point after the 8 8 discuss with you any, any cases of other flight investigation -- excuse me, at any point after the 9 9 attendants who had violated the social media policy? fact-finding meeting, did you deliver a -- well, let 10 me ask it this way: After the fact finding, did you 10 A. I don't recall that information. 11 report back to the other persons involved in the 11 Q. Did Maureen Emlet give you any opinions as 12 investigation about the investigation? 12 to whether any other policy had been violated? 13 A. Can you be more specific. 13 A. I don't recall that. 14 MR. CORRELL: Objection. 14 Q. Okay. Now, at any point after the fact 15 Q. Well, yeah, after, after the fact-finding 15 finding, did you have any communications with Audrey 16 meeting, did you report about the investigation to 16 17 the other persons involved? 17 A. I don't remember discussing anything after 18 MR. CORRELL: Objection, vague. 18 with Audrey Stone. 19 A. I don't know what you mean. 19 Q. Okay. You don't remember discussing 20 Q. Did you, did you report your -- so after 20 anything after the fact finding with Audrey Stone; is 21 the fact-finding meeting, did you report your 21 that correct? 22 decision to employee relations, labor relations, 22 A. Was that your question? 23 human resources? 23 Q. Yes. 24 A. At some point I reported it to labor 24 A. Yes. 25 relations. 25 Q. Okay. Now, do you recall how soon after Page 110 Page 112 1 the fact finding you had those communications with 1 Q. Okay. What do you remember about the 2 2 Maureen Emlet? report you made? 3 3 A. That I was going forward with discipline. A. Possibly the next day. 4 4 O. Okay. If I could direct your attention to Q. Okay. Do you recall how soon after the 5 fact finding you had the communications you described 5 document 6, which is also Exhibit 7. 6 6 with Edie Barnett? A. Okay. 7 7 A. No, I don't remember. Q. Do you recognize this? 8 8 Q. Now, at some point after the fact-finding A. An email to Maureen Emlet, Denise Gutierrez 9 9 meeting, did you hear from employee relations? and Edie Barnett. 10 Q. And do you know what it is? 10 Α. 11 11 A. I need to read it. O. Okay. And when did you hear from employee 12 12 Q. Sure. Yeah, please, do. relations? 13 13 A. It is my synopsis, basically, of the A. I don't remember the exact date or time of 14 that. 14 meeting with Charlene Carter and the investigation. 15 15 Q. Okay. And in this email do you determine Q. Do you remember roughly how long after the whether there's been a violation of the -- of any 16 fact-finding meeting it might have been? 16 17 A. It was within the next day or -- you know, 17 Southwest policies? A. There's the possibility in this email of 18 18 I'm pretty sure it was the next day. 19 Q. Okay. And was it Denise Gutierrez who 19 violations of a social media, bullying and hazing.

indicating her decision on it.

communicated with you?

Q. Okay. And do you know if she emailed you

A. Possibly both. I know there was an email

A. Yes.

or called you?

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

these policies?

But it's still an ongoing investigation.

Q. Okay. So were -- so these were not

A. I'm not sure at this point if I had

conclusions that Ms. Carter had actually violated

completely made my decision on this. I'm -- I don't

8

9

10

11

14

15

21

1

2

8

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

Page 113

1 know the dates, so I can't say specifically of when 2 that determination was made.

- Q. Okay. And the date of this email is March 10th, correct?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. But based off of the language that you have there, I guess on document 4712, after social media policy, bullying and hazing policy and harassment policy, you don't know if you're making conclusions as to whether those are violated?
- A. I feel at that point that those were violations of those policies, as highlighted there. So it was part of my determination of that, yes.
- Q. Okay. Was this your report where you decided on the, the discipline that should be issued to Ms. Carter?
- A. It's part of my investigation that I would use when I made that determination.
- Q. Okay. But it's, it's not your final determination as to the discipline that should be issued?
- A. I'm not sure on the dates of how everything transpired is what I'm saying. So I'm not sure when this was sent, in what part of the investigation.
  - Q. Okay. Well, and I guess one of my

1 opportunity to read it.

A. Are those pages close to the bottom of that document?

Page 115

- Q. Yeah, it's close to the end. Very close to the end.
  - A. You said 5762 and 5763?
- 7 Q. Yes, sir, yeah.
  - A. Okay, I'm there.
  - Q. Okay. And if I could, I guess, direct your attention to the last email in that chain, at the bottom, anyway. If you want to just read that and
- review it and let me know once you've had the chance to do that.
  - A. Okay.
    - Q. All right. And do you recognize that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And what is it?
- A. It's the decision from Denise Gutierrez on employee relations on her portion of the investigation.
  - Q. Okay. And what does she decide?
- A. That the information partially supports the allegations against Charlene.
- Q. Okay. And which, which portion is supported?

Page 114

questions would be, is there anything here that, that tells you that you've decided on the discipline that should be issued?

# A. I am in the decision-making process at this point.

- Q. Okay. All right. And another question here, do you know if this email has been redacted in any way?
  - A. Redacted how?
- Q. And don't tell me if something has -- if something -- what the contents that may have been removed. But I was just wondering if, for a privilege reason, if maybe some contents are not included in this email.
  - A. I am not aware of that.
- Q. Okay. All right. I ask because there's a big space here on 4712.

MR. CORRELL: And I'll represent, Counsel, that all of our redactions are in identifiable black boxes.

MR. GILLIAM: Okay. Thank you. That helps.

Q. All right. If I could go to -- back to document 2, and Bates numbers 5762 and 5763. Just let me know once you've found it and have had the

Page 116

A. The images of women dressed as vaginas.Q. Okay. And -- okay. Then -- and she says,

3 the -- while the videos depicting abortion are

4 considered to be offensive, they do not violate the

5 company's harassment, sexual harassment,

discrimination, retaliation policy, but they should
be addressed.

So I guess one, one question is,

9 did -- I guess, how, how did this report, I guess,
 10 figure in to your decision as to what to do with

11 discipline?
12 A. It helps me to kn

# A. It helps me to know if employee relations views it as a violation and helps me with my decision making.

Q. Okay. And this -- you received this email on March 10th, 2017. Did you make your decision after receiving this email?

# 18 A. I wouldn't have made it before, so it must 19 have been.

Q. Okay. And another question too, I guess, to ask, did you make your decision as to whether there had been a violation of all of the policies --well, let me ask it another way.

Did you make any determinations as to whether there had been a violation of the other two

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

14

15

Page 117

1 policies, apart from the sexual harassment policies, 2 before receiving this email?

## A. I don't recall the order of making those decisions.

- Q. Okay. Now, on this email, looks like
- Denise sends it to you and Suzanne. And do you have any knowledge why Denise sent it to Suzanne?

#### A. Because Audrey Stone is based in Las Vegas and Suzanne is her leader.

- Q. Okay. And Toni Hamilton is cc'd. Did -do you know -- do you have any knowledge as to why Toni Hamilton is cc'd?
- A. I'm -- I think that Toni Hamilton was Denise Gutierrez's leader.
- 15 O. Okav.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

10

18

- 16 A. And she's just letting her know her 17 position.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, how soon after receiving this 19 email did you make your final decision as to 20 termination?
  - A. I don't know specific time frames on it.
  - Q. Okay. If I could refer you back to -- it's in here. Document 7.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 O. And this is Charlene Carter's termination

A. They like to see them before I issue the discipline.

3 Q. Okay. And I want to make sure I understand 4 here. You said you ran it by labor to make sure that 5 the letter was meeting the requirements of your 6 decision? 7

#### A. Just having another person proofread it for me is basically what it is.

Q. Okay. And --

MR. CORRELL: And before we go any further, Mr. Schneider, if you consulted with legal counsel in connection with drafting or revising this letter, please do not testify to any communications with counsel. But you may otherwise answer. I'm just not sure if you did or not.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- 17 Q. So apart -- and I don't want to hear about 18 legal counsel. But apart from, you know, labor 19 relations, did you have communications with anyone 20 else to assist you in drafting that letter? 21
  - A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. And what, what feedback did you get 23 from labor relations about that letter?
  - A. I don't remember specifically what details were said to me about the letter.

Page 118

- 1 letter, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 O. So -- and that's dated March 14th. So fair
- 4 to say you made your decision sometime between March
- 5 10th and March 14th?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And March 10th was a Friday and
- March 14th was a Tuesday. Does that help, help you 8
- 9 narrow in on the day at all?
  - A. The day of?
- 11 Q. The day you made a decision as to whether
- 12 to fire Charlene.
- 13 A. No, it doesn't. Because with this, with 14 the time frames we're under, I was working on it 15 during that time.
- Q. Okay. Now, document 7, the termination 16 letter, did you write that termination letter? 17
  - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did you have any assistance in, in
- 20 drafting it?
- 21 A. Only such as running it past labor to make 22 sure that it was meeting the requirements of my 23 decision.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what do you mean the
- 25 requirements of your decision?

Q. Okay.

MR. GILLIAM: Let's see, I'm looking to see what exhibit number we're on. 20 was the last document. We're on Exhibit 15 now?

MR. CORRELL: That's what I have, yes. THE WITNESS: Go to 15, is that what you're saving?

8 Q. No, no, so I'd like to mark -- I'd like you 9 to go to document 18. And I would like to have 10 document 18 marked as Exhibit 15.

(Exhibit 15 marked)

- 12 Q. And once you've found it and had the chance 13 to review it, let me know.
  - A. Do I have a document 18? I don't find that.

16 MR. CORRELL: It should be in the 17 email. Remember, we had trouble --

18 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. It was 19 in a separate email. Okay.

- 20 A. All right. So -- okay, I'm there.
- 21 O. Okay. And review -- take a moment to 22 review it. And once you've had an opportunity, let
- 23 me know.
- 24 A. Okav. 25
  - Q. Do you recognize this?

Page 120

Page 119

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

16

19

25

1

6

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

1 A. Okay.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 2 Q. Can you tell what it is?
- 3 A. It looks like a termination letter.
  - Q. Okay. Can you say that you did not draft this termination letter?
- 6 A. No, I don't remember specifically. As I --7 vou know, I don't remember.
  - Q. And do you remember if this was something that you produced in response to Ms. Carter's discovery requests?
    - A. I don't remember that, no.
  - Q. Okay. Well -- and I know you don't recognize this letter, but if I could still direct you to the paragraph number, number 3.
    - A. Okav.
  - Q. Where it says, flight attendant work rules and expectations/company policies, and 3.0, basic work rules and expectations. Did you make any determination as to whether the basic work rules and expectations were violated?
  - A. I remember considering that in the behavior of flight attendants, but that is all.
  - Q. Okay. You did not determine that Charlene Carter violated the basic work rules and expectations?

A. That's all done at the same time, when I

Page 123

Page 124

- finish up the investigation.
  - Q. Okay. So they did not see this letter until vou sent it to Ms. Carter?
    - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if you consulted with either Mike Sims or Dave Kissman in reaching your final determination to fire Ms. Carter?
- 9 A. Once I made my decision, I made them aware 10 of my decision.
  - Q. Okay. Did you make them aware of your decision before sending this letter?
    - A. Dave Kissman, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you not inform Mike Sims of your 14 15 decision until after the letter?
  - A. I'm not sure when he was made aware of it.
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you know that you did not make 18 him aware of it prior to sending this letter?
  - A. I don't remember making him aware of it.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you remember if you communicated your decision to Dave Kissman over the weekend? 21
- 22 A. No, I cannot remember when it was.
- 23 Q. Okay. What did you tell Dave Kissman when 24 you had finally made your decision?
  - A. Just on the decision I had made and what

Page 122

- 1 A. She could have violated that. I didn't
- 2 consider -- I mean, I didn't include it in my term 3 letter.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. Other than just referring to it, possibly.
  - Q. And going back to document 7 again, looking back there.
    - A. Okay.
- 9 Q. And the beginning of the last paragraph, it 10 says, your conduct could also be a violation of
- Southwest's policy concerning harassment, sexual 11
- 12 harassment, discrimination and retaliation. So did
- 13 you, did you not make a determination that her
- 14 conduct did violate that policy?
  - A. I determined that the workplace bullying and hazing policy and the social media policy were the violations that she was terminated for. Also she could have violated other policies. And that's what that's referring to.
  - Q. Okay. Now, copied at the bottom are Sonya
- 21 Lacore, Mike Sims and Dave Kissman. Did you not send
- 22 them a draft prior to sending this letter to
- 23 Ms. Carter?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You did not send them a draft prior?

violations they were.

2 Q. Okay. And what was Dave Kissman's 3 response?

4 A. He agreed with it and said that, that it 5 was fine. So thanks for letting him know, basically all it was.

7 Q. Okay.

8 MR. GILLIAM: I think we may want to 9 take a short break here.

10 MR. CORRELL: Sure. Is ten minutes 11

good?

12 MR. GILLIAM: Yeah, ten minutes is 13 good.

14 MR. CORRELL: All right. We'll be 15 back at 2:43.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off record at 2:33 p.m.

(Recess from 2:33 to 2:45)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record at 2:45 p.m.

21 Q. All right. Mr. Schneider, I don't have too 22 many more questions here. 23

But following the fact-finding meeting with Charlene Carter, did Meggan Jones ever recommend to you at some point that you should fire Charlene

Page 125 Page 127 1 Carter? A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Okay. And did you find responsive A. No. 3 3 Q. Okay. Did you ever consult with her about information? 4 her recommendations? 4 MR. CORRELL: Objection, calls for a 5 5 legal conclusion. A. No. 6 Q. Okay. So -- okay. And prior to sending 6 Q. Yeah, let me ask it in another way. 7 7 the termination letter, did you talk to Charlene Did you -- for any request, did you 8 Carter and her union rep about your decision? 8 decide that you don't have any information that you 9 9 A. Prior to sending the letter, you said? felt was responsive? 10 10 A. I shared all of my information with labor. Q. Yes, sir. 11 A. On the same day, I rendered and then I sent 11 And the information that I had, they have. 12 12 Q. Okay. And how did you go about searching the letter. 13 13 for information that you felt would be responsive to Q. Okay. And -- okay. And what, what did you 14 convey to Ms. Charlene Carter and the union rep? 14 Ms. Carter's request? 15 A. That my decision was that she violated the 15 MR. CORRELL: Again, Mr. Schneider, 16 16 please do not relate communications or instructions social media policy and the bullying and hazing 17 policy, and that my decision was termination. 17 that you received from counsel. 18 Q. Okay. And were you involved in step two 18 A. I simply looked on anything I had on my 19 19 proceedings at all? hard drive or in paper form, any files that we had. 20 20 A. No. Q. Okay. Does Southwest issue you a cell 21 Q. Okay. Did Mike Sims ever ask your opinions 21 phone? 22 of any issues regarding step two proceedings? 22 A. No. 23 A. Not that I recall. 23 Q. Okay. Does Southwest issue you any 24 Q. Okay. And after sending your termination 24 electronic devices? 25 letter, did you have any communications with anyone 25 A. An iPad. Page 126 Page 128 regarding your decision? 1 Q. Okay. Did you search your iPad for any 2 2 A. No. responsive information? 3 3 Q. And, you know, I don't mean attorney/client A. I only use my iPad for communication for 4 4 privileged communications. Communications apart from virtual. And I don't have anything saved on my iPad. 5 those with your attorney. 5 So I wouldn't have anything on there. I searched it, 6 6 A. Okay. but there's nothing on there. So it's useless. 7 7 Q. Now, at any point during the investigation, Q. Okay. Would you have anything about this 8 8 did you ever ask Ms. Carter if she would take her case on any of your personal electronic devices? 9 9 Facebook posts down? A. No. Only company. 10 A. I do not recall that discussion. 10 Q. Okay. All right. 11 11 Q. Okay. At any point during the MR. GILLIAM: I think that's about it. 12 investigation, did you ask Ms. Carter if she would 12 Again, you know, we are going to reserve the right to 13 remove any connections to Southwest? 13 reopen the deposition if we --14 A. I don't remember that discussion either. 14 MR. CORRELL: Counsel, what 15 15 Q. Okay. At any point did you discuss with information are you contending you have not been Ms. Carter whether she would be willing to maybe post 16 16 provided that is --17 17 a disclaimer on her Facebook page that her posts MR. GILLIAM: Well. look --18 don't necessarily represent the views of Southwest? 18 (Audio distortion) 19 A. After the termination? 19 MR. CORRELL: I just want it on the 20 20 record so that when you go to make your motion, I can Q. At any point during the investigation. 21 21 tell the court we already gave it to you. Because A. I don't remember that discussion. 22 22 Q. Okay. Now, I guess for -- as part of this we've already produced everything Mr. Schneider has. 23 23 MR. GILLIAM: Okay. I understand. discovery process, did you search your files for 24 information responsive to Ms. Carter's discovery 24 But we received 3500 documents between Friday and 25 25 request? Saturday. And we are trying to conduct depositions,

	Odde 0:11 of 02210 X Boodinent 200 0 1		0/10/22 1 age 00 01 0+ 1 age 12 0000
	Page 129		Page 131
1	given the time limits. And if we determine that	1	A. I am pro-life.
2	there's a need for follow-up discovery, then, you	2	Q. Do you harbor any animus or bias against
3	know, we want to reserve the right to reopen the	3	individuals who are pro-life?
4	deposition.	4	A. No.
5	MR. CORRELL: I'll state for the	5	Q. Did you decide less favorably with respect
6	record that this is the first time you have raised	6	to Ms. Carter than had she been pro-choice?
7	the timing of production. You've used documents from	7	A. No.
8	the highest numbers of our production, which	8	Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter attributed her
9	indicates a full review. And you have not identified	9	pro-life views to her religious beliefs have any
10	any part of the production you've not had an	10	impact on your decision-making process?
11	opportunity to review and examine the witness on.	11	A. No.
12	Further, we are within 30 days of the close of	12	Q. Do you harbor any animus or have any bias
13	discovery, so additional discovery request would be	13	against individuals who express Christian beliefs?
14	improper at this time unless the court were to issue	14	A. No.
15	an extension of the discovery deadline. As a result,	15	MR. CORRELL: I pass the witness.
16	we oppose any request to reopen this deposition on	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Is there anyone
17	any grounds.	17	else?
18	MR. GILLIAM: Understood.	18	MR. GREENFIELD: Local 556 will
19	MR. CORRELL: Mr. Schneider, are	19	reserve their questions for the time of trial.
20	you	20	MR. GILLIAM: Plaintiff has nothing
21	•	21	else.
22	Or are you passing the witness? MR. GILLIAM: Yes. Pass the witness.	22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are off
23	EXAMINATION	23	record at 2:55 p.m.
24	(2:53)	24	End of deposition. End of media.
25	` '	25	(Proceedings concluded at 2:55 p.m.)
23	Q. (BY MR. CORRELL) Mr. Schneider, I just have		(1 10000amgs concluded at 2.55 p.m.)
	Page 130		Page 132
1	•	1	•
1 2	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done	1 2	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider
2	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.		WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020
2 3	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that	2	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
2	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that	2 3	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020
2 3 4 5	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less	2 3 4	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to	2 3 4 5	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
2 3 4 5 6 7	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?	2 3 4 5 6	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?  A. No.  Q. You also understand that Ms. Carter is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?  A. No.  Q. You also understand that Ms. Carter is alleging religious discrimination in this case with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?  A. No.  Q. You also understand that Ms. Carter is alleging religious discrimination in this case with respect to her pro-life views, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?  A. No.  Q. You also understand that Ms. Carter is alleging religious discrimination in this case with respect to her pro-life views, correct?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a couple of quick questions for you before we're done today.  First of all, do you understand that one of the claims asserted in this lawsuit is that Ms. Carter contends that she was treated less favorably because she was a nonmember or objector to the union?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you personally harbor any bias or animus against individuals who opt out of the union?  A. No.  Q. Did the fact that Ms. Carter was a well, did you know Ms. Carter was a nonmember of the union at the time of the investigation?  A. Not until she disclosed that in the meeting.  Q. Once she disclosed that to you, did it have any impact on your decision-making process?  A. No.  Q. You also understand that Ms. Carter is alleging religious discrimination in this case with respect to her pro-life views, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESS NAME: Ed Schneider DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 3, 2020 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON

	Page 133		Page 135
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I, ED SCHNEIDER, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ED SCHNEIDER  THE STATE OF	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition.  That pursuant to information given to the deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, the following includes all parties of record and the amount of time used by each party at the time of the deposition:  Mr. Matthew B. Gilliam (3 hours, 41 minutes)  Mr. Ed Cloutman (00 minutes)  Mr. Ed Cloutman (00 minutes)  That \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Plaintiff for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  Certified to by me on this 12th day of November, 2020.  Cheryl Duncan, CSR  Texas CSR 3371  Expiration: 04/30/21  Firm Registration Number 38  Bradford Court Reporting, L.L.C. 7015 Mumford Street  Dallas, Texas 75252  Telephone 972.931.2799  Facsimile 972.931.1199
	Page 134		
1 2 3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION CHARLENE CARTER,		
4	Plaintiff, )		
5 6 7	vs. ) Case No. ) 3:17-cv-02278-X SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO., AND ) TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION OF ) AMERICA, LOCAL 556, ) Defendants. )		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ED SCHNEIDER November 3, 2020 (Reported Remotely) I, Cheryl Duncan, CSR, in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following: That the witness, ED SCHNEIDER, was duly sworn and that the transcript of the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness; I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(f)(1) that the signature of the deponent:X was requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition and is to be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Pages contain any changes and the reasons therefor;		

	1	1	1	
<b>A</b>	aircraft 11:12	2:1 3:3	44:13 53:13,20	attorney 126:5
<b>a.m</b> 1:19 4:4	<b>Airlines</b> 1:5 2:14	appeared	53:24 58:1	attorney/client
56:16,19	4:16 5:14,20	133:12	92:25 95:5,13	126:3
ability 4:23	7:5 8:6 22:8	apply 57:5	associated 45:2	attorneys
able 61:8	36:19 40:14	approach 57:5	assume 4:18	101:25
<b>aborted</b> 74:16	45:3,20 97:15	approval 13:21	attached 1:25	attributed 97:12
abortion 96:11	102:12 134:6	13:24 61:18	38:20 49:2	98:14 131:8
116:3 130:25	Airlines' 45:6	arbitration	134:23	<b>audio</b> 13:5
	alcohol 24:11	102:11	attaching 65:14	128:18
above-styled 1:18	allegations 28:7	area 11:6,7	attachments	Audrey 3:24
absolutely 54:7	115:23	46:20 73:17	34:16,16	32:3 33:21
	alleged 28:22	94:21	attendance 67:4	37:8,10,14
accept 106:23	alleging 130:21	areas 49:16	71:14 83:4	38:9,22,24
accommodation	allowed 57:1	Arizona 6:14	attendant 7:5,8	40:1,4,6,9 43:4
25:3 30:10	83:1	Armstrong 2:22	7:13,17 10:23	49:3,6 50:12
96:19	<b>America</b> 1:6 2:8	asked 12:10	12:22 24:14,22	50:20 51:1
accurate 69:22	4:17 7:21	21:1,14,15	25:2,17 26:1	52:3,5 54:11
accurately 83:4	134:7	28:8 30:7	26:14,22 31:11	54:18 55:9,15
acknowledged	amount 135:6	49:13 53:9	56:23,24 92:14	57:13,14,20
133:17	and/or 12:19	54:15 62:2	93:6,13 94:23	58:3,18 59:4,7
ACT 24:13,19	100:18	71:21 83:21	98:21 121:16	59:10,12,14,17
96:25 97:1,4	<b>animus</b> 130:9	94:14,16 98:23	attendant's	59:24 60:6
action 11:16	131:2,12	asking 22:24	25:10 56:22	63:14,18 64:6
13:15 135:15	answer 4:23 5:5	34:20 36:16	attendants 8:5,9	64:9,15,18
135:17	5:7 21:3 22:17	45:24 47:9	8:13 10:22,25	65:15 66:9
activities 25:20	24:17 27:6	48:13 50:6	11:4,9,13,16	67:17,19 68:10
actual 38:19	28:10 29:22	69:1 90:9	11:17 12:5	68:16 69:25
Adam 2:10	31:1,7,7 99:8	106:5,6	13:20 20:17	70:15,19,23
<b>additional</b> 101:6	99:16 119:14	asks 60:21	21:9,11,12,15	71:6,18 73:5
101:21 129:13	answered 21:2	aspect 89:5	21:16 22:12	74:7,13,17,22
address 47:19	28:9 30:8	aspects 78:17	23:11,15 27:20	75:6,19 76:20
48:2	49:14 94:15	93:4	27:23 35:5,17	79:17,20 83:17
<b>addressed</b> 12:19	98:24	asserted 130:4	35:20,23 36:11	85:3,5 86:6,10
47:17 63:10	anybody 47:25	assessment	36:13 41:6	88:19,22 89:6
116:7	56:8 57:25	11:15 104:24	86:23,24	107:18 109:15
adept 54:3,5	67:9 69:12	assist 119:20	100:10,11	107.18 109.13
adopt 106:24	71:10 76:10,13	assistance	109:9 121:22	117:8
107:9	87:23	118:19	attendants'	Audrey's 37:16
<b>affix</b> 133:2	<b>anytime</b> 87:11	assistant 6:13,16	25:20	71:24 85:23
<b>agree</b> 61:23	anyway 115:11	8:11 12:11,16	attention 31:17	100:1
<b>agreed</b> 52:20,22	apart 41:8 47:25	12:23 13:16,19	34:23 38:3	authority 12:5
78:3,21 124:4	57:23,25 59:22	14:2,5,12	46:25 65:6	12:24 13:20
agreement	79:14 107:2	28:16 29:8,13	88:12 89:20	80:25
61:20	117:1 119:17	29:14,21 30:9	90:15 95:22	authorization
agreenfield@c	119:18 126:4	30:13,22 33:20	98:12 99:18	95:15
2:13	Appearances	37:2 43:20	112:4 115:10	authorize 95:11
<b>ahead</b> 66:15	11ppcarances	31.2 73.20	112.7113.10	autionize /J.11
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

Page 137

95:12	16:3,22 17:20	<b>bias</b> 130:9 131:2	business 24:8	9:20 11:23
available 41:6	23:11 25:2	131:12	80:9 105:4,8	38:19 43:15,24
90:11 100:10	26:9 27:11,22	big 114:17	105:11	69:12,13 82:5
awards 8:8	28:16,23 29:8	<b>bit</b> 54:9 95:24	<b>byline</b> 40:21	92:20 99:11
aware 5:11 12:9	29:11,13,14,21	96:12	bymic +0.21	107:15 117:25
31:2 32:22	30:9,14,22	black 114:20	<u> </u>	121:9 126:24
37:10 42:1,9	31:19 33:20	block 68:8 99:19	C 3:13	127:14
43:2 48:3,10	36:22 37:2	board 25:25	call 37:3,4 39:20	case 1:4 4:14,15
53:9 55:13	43:20 44:13	26:10 35:5	39:22 41:13,20	5:10 16:18
56:25 58:6	53:13,20,25	boss 9:5,11	41:24 42:7	24:7,12,25
81:22,25 95:12	58:1 86:13,13	<b>bottom</b> 33:3	44:3 57:2	26:3,4,17,20
97:14 114:15	88:23 92:25	68:8 91:12	66:10,19,21	28:19 51:2
	95:5,13	94:3 96:3	73:14 78:5,9	53:18 61:12
123:9,11,16,18	· /		90:13 105:14	
123:19 awareness 13:24	based 8:6 25:17	115:2,11	called 41:16	66:4,7 82:11
	37:8 50:12	122:20	51:13 56:24	87:7 111:5
14:5	77:3 86:17,19	boxes 114:20	78:5 90:10	128:8 130:21
B	88:23 96:20	Braddock 2:4	94:4 105:15,20	134:5
<b>B</b> 2:3,9 135:8	113:6 117:8	Bradford	110:23	cases 15:10,16
back 28:14	bases 27:24 36:5	135:23	calling 91:17	15:17 20:22,24
34:19 36:25	basic 121:17,19	break 4:18	calls 27:5 30:24	21:6 22:5 23:4
38:4 39:19	121:24	55:22,25 56:7	44:23 48:8	24:22 25:25
41:11 54:9	basically 11:7	87:11,20 124:9	49:19 52:10	26:5,8 28:13
56:6,13,18	112:13 119:8	Brett 66:13	74:18 108:25	28:15,22 29:19
82:6 83:15	124:5	67:22 79:24	127:4	29:20,24 30:14
	basis 6:22 11:11	85:6		78:25 109:8
87:8 88:1,7,12	Bates 33:3 86:4	<b>Brian</b> 85:25	card 133:14	categories 47:10
95:22 97:20	89:22 114:24	86:8,12,16	care 8:7 10:21	48:5 49:7 50:4
111:11 114:23	becoming 16:22	87:1 99:22	10:24 11:2,8	50:5,8,13
117:22 122:6,7	began 33:25	100:2,9	carries 91:7	<b>category</b> 48:7,13
124:15,19	beginning 27:13	bringing 66:15	Carter 1:3 2:22	48:18 49:6,12
Barnett 3:22	43:2 58:16	<b>brings</b> 100:1	3:13 4:14,15	cause 1:18
75:16,18 76:1	61:1 97:24	<b>brought</b> 31:16	16:6 19:16	caused 74:10
83:11,12	122:9	45:25 81:14	20:2,8,12 26:4	cc'd 88:25
105:12,14,22	<b>behalf</b> 106:3	101:13,20	31:11 33:22	117:10,12
106:10,11,15	behavior 121:21	bullying 15:21	35:13 45:19	cell 41:13
110:6 112:9	<b>beliefs</b> 21:18,23	15:24 20:12	58:17 74:15	127:20
<b>Barnett's</b> 107:15	21:23 131:9,13	46:20 73:16,20	85:7 90:7	central 4:4
Barrera 14:15	<b>believe</b> 22:20,21	74:5 77:8	101:7 102:16	certain 90:11
94:6	22:23 43:21	78:23 80:10	107:18 112:14	Certificate 3:8
base 5:21,22 6:1	54:13 69:17	85:13,19 94:20	112:22 113:16	134:9
6:8,12,13,16	74:4 84:7,19	105:5 106:16	121:24 122:23	Certified 135:18
6:17,20 8:2,5	95:9 97:25	107:8,16	123:4,8 124:24	certify 134:14
8:11 9:22,22	108:23	112:19 113:8	125:1,8,14	134:18 135:13
9:23 12:11,16	believed 54:4	122:15 125:16	126:8,12,16	cetera 48:11
12:23,23 13:9	best 4:23 5:3	bullying/hazing	130:5,12,13,20	50:4
13:16,17,19	<b>better</b> 80:18	105:25	131:6,8 134:3	<b>chain</b> 9:1,19
14:2,13,17			Carter's 5:13	
	1	1	1	1

				rage 130
115:10	Cheryl 1:19	93:2,7,12,15	24:23	122:14 128:25
chance 19:3	134:13 135:21	106:15	complaint 5:9	conducted 14:2
31:22 47:2	Chris 83:7 92:2	communicated	25:8 26:15,22	64:2 95:1
60:13 63:2	92:5 93:22,24	40:6 49:24	32:6,7 33:21	conducting 71:3
70:4 89:25	Christian 96:4	51:7 72:11	53:15,21 54:18	71:15 89:2
91:10 96:1	96:13 131:13	75:21 76:19	55:10,16 57:14	conferenced
115:12 120:12	Civil 1:22	77:22 108:18	59:14 60:1	83:10
change 100:3	claims 5:12	110:20 123:20	77:13 93:16	conferred 24:13
132:4	130:4	communicating	complaints	confidential
changed 8:16	clarification	57:9	30:15 93:9	1:13 99:23
changes 3:7	88:11	communication	94:19	100:8,12
132:3 134:23	clarify 62:9	37:10 40:13	complete 60:25	confused 102:23
134:24	clarifying 85:3	44:9 51:4	completed 61:5	conjunction
channels 36:1	clear 4:25 5:8	73:11 78:16,17	61:16 82:15	11:21
charges 135:11	clearly 42:16	89:9,12 93:25	completely	connect 97:14
Charlene 1:3	59:21	128:3	112:25	connection
2:22 4:14 6:2,5	close 6:22 15:6	communicatio	completion	68:24 73:20
9:20 11:23	44:15 55:13	32:15,18 35:21	134:21 135:2	98:15 119:12
16:6 19:15	115:2,4,4	40:19,19,20,23	computer 55:6	connections
20:2,8,11 26:4	129:12	41:2,8 47:11	computerized	126:13
33:22 35:13	closely 44:12	53:8 57:13,22	1:21	consensus 80:23
38:19 43:15,24	Cloutman 2:9	57:25 59:3,6,9	concern 49:5	conservative
45:19 58:17	2:10 135:9	59:17,21,25	50:10 85:18	96:4
61:13 69:11,13		60:5 76:15	86:10 100:1,2	consider 107:3
69:15 70:17,20	coaching 23:4 collect 43:12	78:12 79:14,15	concerning	122:2
71:4,21 72:20	collected 46:5	79:20,23 85:17	16:23 33:20	consideration
74:15,23 75:10		89:16 93:24	85:24 86:7	100:13 133:18
75:19 77:1	<b>collecting</b> 42:25 43:6	102:4,10,15	122:11	considered
78:19 77:1	43:0 <b>Colorado</b> 1:21	102:4,10,15	concerns 87:2,5	85:13 116:4
,			concerns 87:2,5	
81:14,17 83:7	<b>come</b> 11:18 12:18 40:20	104:3,6,10,13 104:16,19	100:16 103:5	<b>considering</b> 77:17 121:21
85:7 90:7,20 91:18 93:21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	80:22,25	109:15 110:1,5	131:25	constituted 52:9
94:6 96:4,19	coming 56:6	119:13,19	<b>conclusion</b> 48:9	consult 125:3
97:17 98:11	command 9:1,19	125:25 126:4,4	70:16 79:8	consulted
99:11 101:7	comments 21:18	127:16	80:22,25	119:11 123:6
102:16 107:18	21:22 22:1,2	company 4:16	106:24,24	contact 70:20
112:14 115:23	35:17 39:6	22:4 23:25	107:4,19 127:5	92:1 93:22
117:25 118:12	96:20 97:7	61:20 67:2	conclusions	104:24
121:23 124:24	Commission	128:9	70:14 100:25	<b>contacted</b> 30:18
124:25 125:7	133:25	company's	112:22 113:9	30:22 46:9
125:14 134:3	<b>committee</b> 68:10	116:5	conduct 11:12	51:11 59:14
<b>Charlene's</b> 24:7	68:11,12	complainant	13:11 57:6	61:15 94:6
43:18 44:6,22	communicate	50:23 57:6,10	67:7 72:19	97:1 105:23
45:1 54:16	56:21 58:9,12	complained 57:7	77:3,13,25	contacting 43:1
71:25 76:1	62:20 70:24	69:13	94:24 95:17	54:10 57:12
checks 11:12	71:6,10,18	complaining	107:16 122:10	58:2 59:3,7,10
	•	-	•	•

rage 137				
59:12,16,24	57:11 60:23	87:16 98:24	46:3 57:13,23	111:22 112:25
60:6 74:13	61:17 73:5,6	102:5,11	57:25 71:8	115:18 116:10
contain 134:24	75:20 82:20	114:19 119:12	77:22 78:5,5	116:13,16,21
contending	83:13,14 85:11	119:14,18	79:9 103:16,20	117:19 118:4
128:15	85:16 89:15	127:17 128:14	104:2,7,10	118:11,23,25
contends 130:5	90:20 93:20	135:13	122:21 123:7	119:6 123:9,10
content 55:9	95:11 97:2,5	counsels 23:4	123:13,21,23	123:12,15,21
58:24	99:4,24 105:2	count 14:8	124:2	123:24,25
contents 54:11	105:12,13,21	counted 15:7	Dave's 78:2	125:8,15,17
54:17 55:15,18	108:12,13	<b>COUNTY</b> 133:9	<b>David</b> 32:13	126:1
114:11,13	109:21 113:4,5	couple 130:1	day 46:2 60:22	decision-maki
continue 57:9	118:1,2 123:5	court 1:1 4:5	60:24 61:1,2	114:4 130:18
103:25	130:22 133:3	128:21 129:14	62:2,5 65:24	131:10
continues 63:15	corrections 70:9	134:1 135:23	72:10 110:3,17	decisions 29:7
96:10	70:12	covered 49:16	110:18 118:9	29:12 117:4
continuing	correctly 31:18	COVID-19 1:23	118:10,11	DEFENDANT
58:20 79:16	51:15 61:14	CSR 1:20	125:11 133:11	2:8,14
92:3	66:20 73:15	134:13 135:21	133:20 135:18	Defendants 1:7
contract 57:24	90:25	135:21	days 60:25 61:5	134:7
conversation	Correll 2:15 3:5	current 5:19 8:1	61:9 129:12	Defense 2:3
37:7,23 51:17	18:20 20:5	35:18	134:22	deliver 111:9
53:2,4 55:17	21:1 24:16	Currently 8:15	deadline 61:6	denied 62:7,10
57:19 58:13,15	27:4 28:8 30:7	customer 11:6	129:15	<b>Denise</b> 3:23 51:9
59:19,22 64:12	30:24 31:4	cut 34:15	dealing 23:24	51:11 52:18
64:16,19,22	33:5 34:10,14	Cut 54.13	60:2	53:8,12 58:2
78:12,24	38:10 44:23		dealt 15:23	60:18 63:15
103:23	48:8 49:13	<b>D</b> 3:16,17,20,21	16:22 17:1	65:13 67:12
conversations	52:10 55:20	daily 8:7 11:11	27:7.9	72:15 73:4,14
64:9 69:23	56:3,6,12 58:4	11:20 12:17	<b>Deborah</b> 6:17	73:19 76:8,11
71:1 76:1	60:3,9 74:18	<b>Dallas</b> 1:2 2:11	<b>decide</b> 20:7,11	81:13 83:11,23
convey 102:9	84:7,17 87:16	2:17 91:20	53:24 75:13	85:2,6 88:19
125:14	87:21,25 94:14	92:13,16,20,23	80:20 81:2	110:19 111:1
coordinator	98:23 99:4,7	93:3 134:2	87:13 101:1	110.19 111.1
72:4	102:2,8 108:25	135:24	106:24 115:21	117:6,7,14
coordinators	111:14,18	date 4:3 31:15	127:8 131:5	<b>Denver</b> 5:22 6:1
8:10 10:8,14	114:18 119:10	53:10 65:17,21	decided 52:16	6:7,12 8:2,6
10:20 12:14,15	120:5,16	65:23 98:1	113:15 114:2	9:22,23 12:23
66:2	124:10,14	110:13 113:3	<b>decision</b> 13:11	15:17,18 16:3
copied 122:20	127:4,15	132:2 134:22	29:4 42:8,11	16:22 17:20
copies 135:12	128:14,19	dated 63:16	42:17 61:3	20:16 22:13
correct 5:17	129:5,19,25	118:3	62:5 66:7	23:11,15 24:15
10:7 13:18	131:15 135:8	dates 80:7 93:5	72:19 80:10	25:2,17 27:11
16:20 17:25	correspondence	113:1,22	95:16 100:22	29:8 86:12
23:22 30:6	93:6	Dave 8:23,24 9:5	106:12 107:1	94:21
34:22 36:21,24	counsel 18:23	9:10,11 33:19	107:11,12	deny 62:18
46:10 51:12	31:4 33:5 84:8	37:2 41:11,13	110:25 111:2	denying 62:14
70.10 31.12	J1. <del>1</del> JJ.J 04.0	41:24 42:13,18	110.43 111.4	uchymg 02.14
	<u> </u>	.1.22.15,10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 140
department	determined	28:18,20 29:18	disgusted 42.2	127:19
30:17,21	42:24 105:24	61:7 80:15	disgusted 42:2 disparaging	drug 24:11
departments	122:15	98:25 100:14	21:17,22 22:3	drug 24.11 due 27:17
23:25	determines		disseminated	
		104:1 107:13		duly 1:17 4:8
departs 11:13	80:14,19	112:3 113:15	12:19	134:15
depends 23:20	determining	113:20 114:2	distortion 13:5	<b>Duncan</b> 1:19
depicted 48:19	34:1 106:22	116:11 119:2	128:18	134:13 135:21
depicting 116:3	107:12	disciplined	<b>DISTRICT</b> 1:1	<b>Dustin</b> 14:14
depiction 98:20	devices 127:24	17:19 18:9	1:1 134:1,2	29:10 54:7
<b>deponent</b> 134:19	128:8	20:23 27:21,24	DIVISION 1:2	55:9
134:20 135:1	<b>DG</b> 47:18	69:16	134:2	duties 8:12
deposed 4:19,21	different 23:6	disclaimer	<b>document</b> 18:21	
deposition 1:9	80:25 81:24	126:17	18:24 19:1	-
1:15 128:13	89:11 92:7	disclosed 130:15	25:11 31:21	E 3:18,20,22,22
129:4,16	99:15,21	130:17	32:24 33:6,7,8	earlier 85:11
131:24 132:2	direct 9:21	discovery 82:5	34:6,7,9,19,21	94:18 95:24
133:2 134:10	22:17 31:20	121:10 126:23	34:24 37:1	99:24
134:16,21	32:23 34:23	126:24 129:2	38:4,13 39:19	early 44:1
135:2,4,7,10	38:3 46:25	129:13,13,15	47:1,1 49:1,3	easier 18:24
135:12	60:11 63:1	discrimination	60:12 63:2,23	ecloutman@la
depositions	65:6 68:7	16:24 24:23	65:7 68:7	2:12
128:25	88:12 89:20	30:15,19,23	69:19 81:6	<b>Ed</b> 1:10,15 3:4
described 110:5	90:15 91:6	116:6 122:12	82:17,19 84:4	4:7 37:3 132:1
description 3:12	95:22 97:9	130:21	84:10,13,14,18	133:1,6,12
133:14	98:12 99:18	discuss 39:21	86:3 87:9,9	134:10,15
desk 11:7,8	112:4 115:9	41:25 43:5	88:12 89:21	135:9
<b>details</b> 21:5,20	121:13	77:7 103:8,12	95:22 97:10	<b>Edie</b> 75:16,17
27:15 28:6	directed 90:13	109:8 126:15	99:10 111:5	83:11,11
29:3 35:12,14	directly 10:5	discussed 50:21	112:5 113:7	105:12,14,22
43:5 45:18	12:14 22:3	54:11 70:8	114:24 115:3	106:10,11,15
48:20 50:24	26:3 28:24	73:15 77:5	117:23 118:16	110:6 112:9
51:6 62:17	35:21 37:17,20	79:12 103:11	120:4,9,10,14	<b>Edith</b> 83:12
79:13 101:19	38:9,17,18,21	discussing 55:11	122:6 133:15	educated 39:16
119:24	39:1,9 40:24	78:18 109:17	documents	Edward 2:9
determination	56:23 68:20	109:19	10:24 41:5	Edwards 6:17
106:3,8 107:9	93:12 101:2	discussion 54:23	43:8 128:24	egregious 46:14
113:2,13,18,20	director 9:5	63:14,20 64:1	129:7	108:8
121:19 122:13	disagree 81:1	64:14,24 73:21	doing 6:11 14:20	egregiousness
123:8	Disaster 1:24	90:6 97:16	<b>door</b> 44:17	52:25
determinations	disciplinary	108:11,14	draft 121:4	<b>eight</b> 7:9,16
116:24	12:2,21 13:12	126:10,14,21	122:22,25	<b>either</b> 32:12
determine 42:22	13:15 14:22	discussions	<b>drafting</b> 118:20	51:4 123:7
49:8 73:23	23:4 46:18	50:25 54:17	119:12,20	126:14
83:17 100:19	discipline 18:13	103:10 107:22	drafts 81:24	elected 7:23
112:15 121:23	22:12,24,25	107:24 108:2,6	dressed 116:1	election 40:14
129:1	23:5,7 28:3,4	109:7	drive 15:14	electronic
	,,	20211		127:24 128:8
			<u> </u>	l

Page 141

	-	ī	-	-
eleven 6:6	46:16,17 47:8	exhibit 3:12	109:5 126:9,17	Federal 1:22
<b>Elm</b> 2:11	47:12,15,18,20	18:19,22 31:20	Facsimile	feedback 80:12
email 3:14,16,17	49:9,18,19,24	33:6 34:15,17	135:25	119:22
3:19,21,23	50:21,22 51:1	38:11 65:7	fact 28:5 63:21	feel 77:11 80:12
32:3,14,16,19	52:6 54:10	84:4,5,11,12	63:22 64:23	100:19 113:11
33:19,23 37:2	67:2 71:1	88:13 112:5	70:22,24 71:25	fell 49:6
37:4 38:21	72:12,13 73:22	120:3,4,10,11	75:19 76:2	felt 76:25 77:24
41:12,17 42:18	76:8,11 100:18	exhibits 3:10	79:5,11 80:3,6	127:9,13
44:10 47:8,12	104:23 110:9	135:12	82:24 87:6	female 48:21
47:19 48:23	110:11 111:22	exist 82:13	99:12 100:4	<b>fetus</b> 74:16
49:18 51:3,11	115:19 116:12	expectations	102:25,25	fetuses 48:20
51:14 54:12	employee's	121:18,20,25	103:4,6,10,16	<b>figure</b> 99:12
60:18,21 63:7	16:13 17:10	expectations/c	103:20 104:7	116:10
65:13 73:11	employees 14:18	121:17	104:11,14,17	file 23:8
75:22,24 81:10	17:19 18:6,8	experienced	104:20,22	files 126:23
81:12 84:19	20:16 30:1,5	16:3	109:4,14,20	127:19
85:2,6,21,23	50:8	expertise 73:18	110:1,5 111:10	final 61:2 81:21
90:6,18,20	encountered	Expiration	130:12 131:8	113:19 117:19
91:7,15,25	24:22	135:22	fact-finding	123:8
92:4 93:21	ended 91:18	<b>Expires</b> 133:25	65:22 69:24	finally 123:24
94:3,3 100:1	enforce 12:1	explain 58:24	70:17,21 71:3	financially
110:24 111:6	entire 23:1	express 131:13	75:10 77:25	135:16
112:8,15,18	98:25	expressed	81:16 82:2,13	<b>find</b> 37:9 44:22
113:3 114:7,14	entrance 10:21	133:18	82:14,21 83:3	45:25 63:2
115:10 116:15	ER 43:21	<b>extension</b> 61:11	93:17 94:7	68:18 81:6
116:17 117:2,5	et 48:11 50:4	61:19,23 62:7	102:17,21	120:14 127:2
117:19 120:17	ethnicity 48:10	62:10,14,18	104:4 108:4	finding 63:21,22
120:19	events 79:16	90:7,9,14,22	110:8,16 111:9	64:23 70:25
emailed 46:3	83:20	91:24 92:25	111:15,21	72:1 75:19
51:15 105:20	everybody 56:1	129:15	124:23	76:2 79:6,11
110:22	exact 17:3,21	extensions 61:9	factors 107:3	80:3,6 99:12
emails 12:18	23:17 31:15	61:15 91:1	fair 100:6 118:3	100:4 102:25
35:24 48:1	69:19 71:9	93:5	fall 50:9	100.4 102.23
88:19	110:13	93.3	falls 73:21	103:16,20
Emergency 1:23	<b>exactly</b> 97:6	$\mathbf{F}$	familiar 5:12	103.10,20
<b>Emlet</b> 3:18,19	Examination	Facebook 22:7	19:8 46:19	104:7,11,14,17
3:21 58:10	3:5,5 4:9	31:11,16 37:16	86:23 94:24	104.20,22
	129:23	38:19 39:11,14	far 14:4 25:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
65:14 76:23		39:15 43:14,16		110:1,5 111:10
81:12 108:11 108:17 109:7	examine 129:11 excuse 13:16	43:19,24 44:7	29:3 48:10,15 81:22 99:23	findings 82:24
108:17 109:7	25:7 28:14	44:22 45:1,21		<b>fine</b> 56:6,10 58:20 87:24
112:8	48:23 57:24	54:2,5,16 55:2	<b>favorably</b> 130:6 131:5	124:5
		58:17,25 68:21	Fax 2:6	
employed 135:14	89:11 111:8 <b>executed</b> 133:17	69:6,13 83:18		<b>finish</b> 5:4,6 123:2
		83:21,24 85:8	<b>February</b> 31:13	
<b>employee</b> 22:8	executive 25:25	97:13 98:9,15	46:4,6 63:16	fire 12:5 118:12
24:6 43:1 46:9	26:10 35:5	107:24 108:9	65:3	123:8 124:25
		107.27 100.7		
1				

				- rage 142
<b>fired</b> 21:11,13	124:23 134:14	gate 11:12	44:6 48:16	116:8,9,9,20
98:20	135:5	gates 11:20	54:16 77:24	126:22
Firm 135:22	follows 4:8	gathered 77:14	92:5 96:24	Gutierrez 3:17
first 1:23 4:8	97:15	gathering 63:24	99:21 114:23	3:20,22,23
25:6,16 31:10	<b>forced</b> 62:5	64:2,5,10,25	119:10 120:6,9	51:9,11 52:18
35:9 38:24	foregoing 133:1	65:3 66:23	127:12 128:20	53:8,13 58:2
47:14 49:23	133:16	70:15,19,23	goes 99:23	60:18 63:15
64:16,19,22	forget 54:5	71:5,11,14,19	going 18:21	65:13 67:12
72:6 74:21	form 22:12	71:24 72:6,7	27:18 39:18	72:15 76:8
85:22 86:3	28:18 127:19	72:12,17 73:5	41:11 55:20	81:13 83:11,23
104:23 129:6	<b>forms</b> 23:6	74:7,9 75:5,18	71:20 75:13	85:2 88:19
130:3	<b>forth</b> 12:7	76:9,20 77:23	77:1 91:24	110:19 112:8
<b>five</b> 17:7 18:2,3	forward 48:23	78:6 79:4,17	99:9,13 100:25	115:18
18:5,8,10,11	52:16 71:20	79:19,21,25	112:3 122:6	Gutierrez's
18:12 23:18,19	77:24 101:13	83:16	128:12	111:1 117:14
47:24 48:1	112:3	general 98:4	good 4:10,12	guy's 54:6
55:22	forwarded	103:22	56:14 70:7	guys 87:13
<b>flight</b> 7:5,7,13	31:19 32:9,11	generally 68:20	87:17,19	
7:16 8:5,9,13	32:14 43:10	genitalia 48:21	124:11,13	H
10:22,23,25	48:23,24 62:24	gestures 5:1	graphic 42:5	half 5:25 7:9,16
11:4,9,13,16	Foss 94:23 95:14	<b>Gilliam</b> 2:3 3:5	48:19 52:2	55:22
11:17 12:5,22	<b>found</b> 45:1,8,10	4:10,13 18:25	54:19 69:10	hallway 44:20
13:20 20:17	45:12 47:2	33:7 34:18	74:12	Hamilton 62:21
21:8,11,12,15	60:12 84:15	55:24 56:5,10	graphics 68:19	62:24 117:10
21:16 22:11	88:13 97:23	56:14 84:3,9	Greenfield 2:10	117:12,13
23:10,15 24:14	98:10 114:25	87:10,15,19,22	2:10 131:18	<b>hand</b> 133:19
24:22 25:1,10	120:12	88:3 99:2,5,14	ground 11:21	handle 54:1
25:17,20 26:1	Foundation 2:3	114:21 120:2	<b>grounds</b> 129:17	handled 30:10
26:13,21 27:20	<b>four</b> 47:24 48:1	124:8,12	<b>group</b> 35:4	30:14
27:23 31:10	96:10	128:11,17,23	75:13 96:14	handling 28:17
35:4,17,20,23	<b>frame</b> 63:19	129:18,22	<b>groups</b> 96:13	hands-on 14:4
36:11,13 41:6	frames 117:21	131:20 135:8	guess 15:20	happen 94:9
56:22,23,24	118:14	<b>Gilliam's</b> 102:9	36:15 38:14	happened 14:5,7
86:23,24 92:13	<b>FRCP</b> 134:18	give 4:25 22:17	44:14 47:17	26:6 28:1,5
93:6,13 94:23	<b>Friday</b> 118:7	37:3 52:21	48:4 49:5,10	40:14
98:21 100:10	128:24	61:23 80:12	51:7 52:7	happening
100:11 109:8	<b>front</b> 10:21 11:3	97:19 109:11	54:14 55:21	13:25 59:15
121:16,22	11:5	given 20:22	58:11 63:25	happens 61:4
flights 11:15	frustrated 36:6	45:11 46:5	67:1 74:10,16	96:7
folder 55:7	frustration	71:23 107:25	77:16 80:8,9	<b>happy</b> 87:18
<b>follow</b> 49:17	35:18	129:1 133:19	80:24 83:2	harassment
81:15 105:9	<b>full</b> 129:9	134:17 135:3	85:22,22 86:6	16:23,24 46:15
<b>follow-up</b> 12:20	<b>further</b> 119:11	<b>gives</b> 63:19	89:8 91:21	48:16 50:7,12
92:4 129:2	129:12 134:18	<b>giving</b> 41:20	92:3,4 93:8,16	50:14,15,16
followed 9:19	135:13,16	<b>go</b> 11:15,19 33:2	94:19 97:23	51:24,24 52:1
following		34:7,19 43:6	113:7,25 115:9	52:9 73:3,3,16
	G			77:9 78:22,22
	1		·	I

		<u> </u>	 	l
94:21 104:25	102:2,2	incident 12:21	71:14,19,24	investigating
111:4 113:8	holding 70:14	13:12,15 28:17	72:6,7,12,17	51:6,19,22
116:5,5 117:1	home 67:14	74:17	73:4 74:7,9	52:19
122:11,12	hour 55:21 56:7	incidents 12:24	75:5,18 76:9	investigation
<b>harbor</b> 130:9	hours 135:8	14:22 27:21	76:12,20 77:14	13:11 14:3
131:2,12	<b>housed</b> 46:20	62:6	77:23 78:6	28:17 33:25
<b>hard</b> 127:19	<b>HRBP</b> 24:7	<b>include</b> 23:3,4	79:4,7,16,19	35:13 41:14
Harwood 2:17	73:22,24 74:1	122:2	79:21,25 81:13	42:7,12,18,23
hazing 15:21,25	75:3,6,11,15	included 34:17	83:18,24 85:4	43:3 44:1
20:13 46:19	80:10,14,19	88:18 114:14	99:11 101:4,6	49:24 53:25
73:16,20 74:5	81:1 100:19	includes 135:5	101:10,12,21	55:7 56:21,25
77:8 78:23	Hudson 59:3	including 8:10	101:22,24	58:7,16 59:14
80:11 85:14,19	104:14	incomplete	102:1,16,19,24	61:1,5,22 62:1
94:20 105:6	<b>huge</b> 96:8	34:15	106:20 107:17	64:3 66:4
106:16 107:9	huh-uhs 5:1	incorporate	107:23,25	71:15 75:14
107:16 112:19	human 24:7	82:7	108:21,24	77:25 79:24
113:8 122:16	80:9 105:3,8	incorporated	109:2,10	83:16 88:21
125:16	105:11 106:3,7	82:16,18	115:22 126:24	89:2,5,10,14
hazing/bullying	111:23	independent	127:3,8,10,11	89:17 92:13
107:6	hundreds 22:19	107:14	127:13 128:2	93:4,10 94:12
he/she 133:17		independently	128:15 135:3	94:25 95:2,17
head 4:25	<u> </u>	81:1	informed 71:2,8	97:24 99:23
hear 25:6,8	idea 66:25 98:4	<b>INDEX</b> 3:1	71:20	100:3 101:3,15
31:10 35:15	identifiable	indicates 129:9	initial 13:10	101:16 104:1
110:9,11	114:20	indicating 77:15	41:24	106:21 111:8
119:17	identified 22:8	81:13 110:25	<b>input</b> 43:3 46:10	111:12,12,16
heard 27:25	129:9	individual 82:10	46:13,18,22	112:14,20
28:2 35:11	identity 133:14	individuals	48:25 49:21	113:17,24
36:1 74:22	III 2:9	106:2,7 130:10	inquiries 96:18	115:20 123:2
hearing 96:16	<b>images</b> 48:25	131:3,13	instance 1:16	126:7,12,20
Hector 14:14	49:1,2,11	<b>inflight</b> 5:21 8:4	26:20 62:13	130:14
54:5 55:9 94:4	50:11 52:2,8	9:16 36:19,20	74:13 85:15,15	investigations
94:6,11	54:19 55:5	75:15	instances 62:11	8:8 13:8 18:10
held 65:22,24	74:16,22 116:1	<b>inform</b> 123:14	instruct 31:6	18:11,16 29:2
67:10 70:19,22	imagine 22:16	information	instructions	29:17 72:2
help 84:18 89:4	immediate 8:23	24:3 37:12,14	127:16	investigators
107:11 118:8,8	immediately	39:24 42:25	instrument	47:20,21,23
helps 114:22	42:15 73:8	43:7,13,25	133:16	48:1
116:12,13	100:23	44:7,21,25	interested	involve 53:25
<b>Herb</b> 97:19	<b>impact</b> 130:18	45:25 46:5	135:17	85:14
hereto 1:25	131:10	48:25 49:21	interrupt 35:6	involved 14:3,4
Herrick 10:19	implicated 74:5	60:19 62:3,4	investigate	15:2,10 16:5
highest 129:8	77:12	63:24 64:2,5	12:24 25:5	24:1 26:9
highlighted	important 4:25	64:10,25 65:3	investigated	27:11 28:24
113:12	improper	66:23 70:14,19	27:13 28:24	29:23,24 30:2
hold 7:23 80:2	129:14	70:23 71:5,11	29:20	48:18 49:12,23
	in-house 102:11			
	1	1	1	1

				rage 144
50:11 59:13	43:20 44:22,25	37:18,18 38:6	121:12 123:6	learned 77:19
60:1 66:3	45:12 54:12	38:8,12,14	123:17 124:5	legal 2:3 48:9
71:24 72:5,18	57:24 58:1	40:1,12 41:16	126:3 128:12	119:11,18
72:20,23 73:1	71:13,18 82:11	44:25 45:7,10	129:3 130:13	127:5
73:24 74:1,11	82:12 97:23	45:12,15,24	knowing 86:22	let's 9:9 18:5,18
75:14 77:4	103:5,9,13	46:4 47:3,18	knowledge	32:23 34:18
78:18,25 85:15	124:24	47:22,25 48:4	49:22 117:7,11	38:4 56:12
88:20 94:11,12	jumped 95:24	50:3,3,11,25	known 72:19	60:11 65:7
95:10 102:11		51:13 52:13,16	75:12 133:12	81:5 87:8,9
106:2 111:11	K	53:10 54:21	knows 99:1	88:9 89:21
111:17 125:18	keep 5:7 89:17	55:4,12 57:16		91:6 92:5
involvement	keeps 41:5	57:18 58:22	L	95:21 97:9
13:2	Kendall 94:23	59:13 60:13	<b>L.L.C</b> 135:23	120:2
involves 50:14	95:14	62:23,25 63:3	label 86:4	letter 3:13,25
involving 12:22	kept 15:14	63:21 64:1,8	labeled 18:21	19:15,17 23:8
14:22 15:17	100:11	65:2,4,21,25	33:3	34:5 118:1,17
24:14 30:14	kind 21:23	66:14,18,23	<b>labor</b> 15:15 24:8	118:17 119:5
53:14 60:7	Kissman 3:16	67:13,19,25	43:21 58:6,8	119:13,20,23
79:1 94:23	8:23,25 32:13	68:2,4,11,13	71:2 76:19	119:25 121:3,5
iPad 127:25	33:19 41:13,24	69:9,12,18	107:24 108:2	121:13 122:3
128:1,3,4	46:3 57:14,23	70:3 73:7	108:10,15	122:22 123:3
issue 24:14	58:1 71:8	74:20 75:8,21	111:22,24	123:12,15,18
30:19,23 60:22	77:22 79:9	75:25 78:4	118:21 119:4	125:7,9,12,25
61:6 77:3	103:16,20	79:13 81:3,7	119:18,23	letting 103:24
80:15 96:8,15	104:2,7,10	81:20 82:21	127:10	117:16 124:5
97:2 98:25	122:21 123:7	83:22 84:22	<b>Lacore</b> 9:15,18	limits 90:8,23
119:1 127:20	123:13,21,23	85:1 86:10,14	59:7 104:20	129:1
127:23 129:14	Kissman's 9:5	86:15,16,22	122:21	line 94:3 132:4
130:25	9:10,11 37:2	87:12 88:14	language 113:6	lines 96:10
<b>issued</b> 28:18	41:11 124:2	89:1 90:1,12	<b>Las</b> 6:20 31:19	<b>lingo</b> 65:1
113:15,21	knew 40:9,15	91:18 92:15	37:8 88:23,24	<b>listed</b> 65:16
114:3	86:20	95:1,7,18,25	117:8	lists 67:1 83:3
issues 9:17,24	know 4:18 6:23	97:22 98:1,6,8	lasted 66:24	little 55:21
27:18 60:1,1,7	12:7 14:21	98:20 101:18	Lauren 2:22	87:24
125:22	15:3,4,7,23	101:20,25	<b>LAW</b> 2:10	<b>LLP</b> 2:16
items 11:14	16:12 17:3,7,9	102:14 103:17	lawsuit 130:4	local 1:6 2:8
	17:22 18:1	103:24 106:1	lawyer 102:10	4:17 5:13 7:19
J	19:7 20:2 21:7	106:11,13	leader 8:23	7:21 40:10
<b>Janet</b> 10:17 66:2	22:1,11 23:10	110:17,22,24	36:22 72:3	92:9 93:19
66:3,6 67:11	23:14,17 24:19	111:3,19	117:9,14	131:18 134:7
69:19 70:5	25:19,22,23	112:10 113:1,9	<b>leaders</b> 36:3,7,8	locate 55:3
<b>January</b> 6:9,10	26:25 27:10,20	114:7,25	36:13,17	lodged 31:8
<b>Jen</b> 10:19	28:4,12 29:4,4	115:12 116:12	leadership 35:18	<b>logo</b> 45:6 97:19
<b>job</b> 97:20	29:22,23 30:4	117:11,16,21	36:6,18	logos 45:19
<b>joined</b> 66:18	30:9,13 31:16	119:18 120:13	leadership-type	long 5:23 6:4 7:7
<b>joint</b> 68:12	31:23 32:25	120:23 121:7	11:19	7:15 53:1
<b>Jones</b> 14:14	35:2 36:1,4		learn 35:9 65:1	
	1		ı	

rage 143				
66:23 110:15	14:17 16:3,22	2:18	110:9,16 111:9	mine 44:20
longer 9:3 55:25	17:20 29:8	mean 11:3 22:18	111:16,21	minutes 55:23
look 38:25 43:15	36:23 37:3	23:20 34:3	112:14 118:22	87:17,21 88:2
43:18,24 44:7	43:20 53:25	36:8 52:7	119:5 124:23	124:10,12
84:21 91:10,12	88:24	91:20 102:21	130:16	135:8,8,9
96:1 101:4,9	managers 8:11	107:8 111:19	meetings 53:17	mischaracteri
128:17	12:11,16,23	118:24 122:2	107:18 108:1	38:11
looked 127:18	13:19 14:13	126:3	<b>Meggan</b> 14:14	misstate 85:12
looking 33:25	28:16,23 29:11	meant 48:12	43:20,23 44:5	misstate 83.12 misstates 20:5
34:12 38:13,23	29:13,15,21	media 14:19,22	44:12,22,25	34:10 58:4
39:20 81:22	30:10,14,22	15:1,10,18	45:8,12,25	moment 21:7
	33:20 44:13	, ,	53:23 54:4,12	33:13 38:1
120:2 122:6		17:13,16 19:24	,	
looks 85:3 90:10	53:14,20 58:2	20:3,8,18 21:8	54:15,17,24	84:21 88:9
90:12 117:5	92:25 95:5,13	21:11,16 23:24	57:23 58:1	120:21
121:3	March 113:3	25:6,7,11,20	71:13,18,23	monitor 11:20
lot 36:12 39:12	116:16 118:3,4	26:1,14,22	72:5,9 82:11	monitors 25:19
85:9 86:23	118:5,7,8	27:2 28:17,23	82:12 97:23	months 6:6
92:18	mark 84:3,10	29:5 46:19	98:10 103:5,8	<b>Moore</b> 14:14
<b>loud</b> 19:7	120:8	77:9,12,18,20	103:12 124:24	29:10
<b>lounge</b> 10:22	marked 84:12	78:22 83:18	member 7:12,15	morning 4:10,12
11:3,4,7,18	120:10,11	94:20 98:22	25:25	<b>motion</b> 128:20
36:11	material 45:20	107:5,20 108:4	members 26:10	move 52:16
<b>lounges</b> 41:4,9	<b>Matt</b> 4:13	108:20 109:9	<b>memory</b> 19:23	100:20
<b>Luna</b> 10:19	matter 37:6	112:19 113:7	99:6	<b>moving</b> 58:20
<b>lunch</b> 56:1,8	49:25 59:18	122:16 125:16	mention 78:25	88:11
87:11	60:7 62:21,23	131:24	mentioned	multiple 75:25
	74:6 78:13,17	meeting 43:5	42:21 50:13	76:6
<u>M</u>	91:22 101:1	52:17 53:10	78:20 87:6	Mumford
<b>M</b> 3:18,19,21	matters 32:19	65:14,22 67:3	mentions 35:2	135:23
machine 1:21	53:14,20 93:9	67:7,10 68:14	merits 93:9,16	Murtoff 9:12
Mack 2:21	93:13	69:20,24,25	message 68:20	
magnitude 72:3	Matthew 2:3	70:7,17,17,21	69:4,6,8 85:7	N
making 35:17	135:8	71:3,7,21,22	96:8	N 2:17
35:20 43:2,9	Maureen 58:10	72:19 73:8	messages 4:25	name 4:13 10:15
66:7 70:11	58:12,14 65:14	75:2,4,8,9,10	31:12,17 58:25	24:8 40:21
100:22 106:2	76:23,24 77:2	76:25 78:1	met 40:4	54:6 67:2
106:25 113:9	78:16,24 79:4	81:14,16 82:2	Michael 2:15	106:4 132:1
116:14 117:3	81:12 108:11	82:13,15,22	135:8	133:15
123:19	108:17 109:7	83:5,8,16,22	midway 85:22	named 94:23
manage 6:20	109:11 110:2	90:11 91:17,20	98:13	names 20:21
10:23	112:8	92:3,6,10 93:5	<b>Mike</b> 9:2,4,11	30:4
management	Maureen's	93:18,23 94:1	9:13 10:19	<b>Naomi</b> 59:3
25:14 36:20	58:19	94:4,7,17	59:10 102:7	104:14
manager 5:21	mbg@nrtw.org	100:16,24	104:16 122:21	<b>narrow</b> 118:9
6:1,8,12,13,16	2:6	102:17,21	123:7,14	National 2:3
6:17 8:2 9:22	mcorrell@ree	104:4 108:4	125:21	<b>nature</b> 27:17
13:16,17 14:2				74:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	I

necessarily	103:11	OFFICES 2:10	33:15,18,23	65:2,6,9,12,16
126:18	November 1:11	official 26:13,21	34:2,6,23 35:1	65:20,25 66:3
necessary 93:6	1:18 4:3 132:2	<b>Oh</b> 17:15 18:8	35:6,9,15,23	66:6,9,12,18
need 4:18 11:1	134:11 135:19	95:13 120:18	36:1,7,20,22	67:6,9,12,15
11:18 12:18	number 17:3,21	okay 4:21 5:12	36:25 37:6,13	67:19,22,25
65:1 90:22	18:21,22 23:13	5:16,23 6:1,4,7	37:18,25 38:3	68:6,9,14 69:2
92:24 95:23	32:25 33:3	6:10,15,18,23	38:5,14,23	69:5,11,15,18
112:11 129:2	37:1 86:4	7:1,4,7,10,12	39:3,8,11,14	69:22 70:3,9
needed 37:9	89:22 120:3	7:15,18,23 8:1	39:18 40:1,4,6	70:13,18 71:5
41:13 62:3	121:14,14	8:13,16,18,21	40:9,12,15,18	71:10,13,17,23
70:16 72:8	135:22	8:24 9:2,4,6,13	40:23 41:1,7	72:11 73:4,10
76:25 77:24	numbered 1:18	9:17,20,24	41:11,16,19,23	73:13,19 74:1
83:17 96:19	numbers 18:24	10:5,8,11,14	42:11,15,20	74:4,15,21,25
101:14	23:17 114:24	10:18,20 11:2	43:6,12,18,23	75:9,11,17
needs 10:23 11:8	129:8	11:10,22,25	44:3,5,9,12,14	76:4,7,15,18
87:24		12:4,7,10 13:2	44:17,21 45:4	76:22,24 77:2
neither 135:13	0	13:4,10,14,19	45:7,12,15,17	77:6,11,16,21
Nevarez 66:13	oath 133:13	13:23 14:1,6,9	45:24 46:3,8	78:4,8,11,15
67:22 79:24	objection 20:5	14:12,16,21,25	46:12,17,21,25	78:19 79:3,9
never 97:1	21:1 24:16	15:4,9,12,16	47:4,7,11,14	79:14,19,23
new 99:10	27:4 28:8 30:7	15:20,23 16:2	47:17,22,25	80:2,5,8,14,18
Nexus 22:2,6	30:24 31:8	16:5,8,10,12	48:4,17,22	80:24 81:5,8
43:17 77:15	38:10 44:23	16:18,21 17:1	49:5,10,17,22	81:11,20 82:1
107:23 108:7	48:8 49:13	· ·	50:2,10,18,25	82:1,4,9,12,18
107.23 108.7	52:10 56:8	17:4,6,9,12,15 17:18,22 18:1	51:7,10,13,17	
nods 5:1	58:4 60:3,9			82:18,21,24
	74:18 94:14	18:5,11,15,18	51:21,25 52:7	83:2,7,10,15
nonmember	98:23 108:25	19:2,9,10,12	52:13,18,21	83:23 84:2,9
130:6,13	111:14,18	19:17,23 20:2	53:1,4,7,12,19	84:20,23 85:5
normally 94:1 NORTHERN	127:4	20:7,11,15,20	53:24 54:4,8 54:24 55:8,8	85:21 86:5,14
	objector 130:6	20:24 21:10,14	,	86:16,20,25
1:1 134:2 <b>NOTARY</b>	<b>observe</b> 11:16	21:20 22:5,11	55:14,19,25	87:4,8,15,23
	obtained 37:11	22:18 23:3,6	56:5,9,10,12	88:3,15,18
133:23	37:14 39:25	23:10,14,23	56:14,20 57:5	89:1,4,7,16,19
note 82:7,9 noted 133:3	68:18 69:3	24:4,10,13,19	57:9,12,18,22	89:19,24,25
notes 63:23	obtaining 90:7	24:21 25:1,5 25:13,19,24	58:8,11,14,19	90:2,5,9,15,17
	occasions 25:14	, ,	58:24 59:2,6,9	90:19 91:1,5,9
65:14,16,18,23	occurred 19:25	26:8,12,17,25	59:12,16,20,24	91:14,25 92:11
66:1 69:19	offensive 116:4	27:9,15,23	60:5,11,14,17	92:15,19,22
70:4,10 71:22	office 7:23 11:6	28:2,6,12,21	60:21 61:4,8	93:2,7,12,15
72:8 81:16,21	15:13 44:15,19	29:2,6,9,11,17	61:11,17,22,25	93:19,21 94:2
81:24 82:2,3,6	67:9,11,14,15	30:1,4,13,17	62:6,9,13,16	94:11,18,18
82:6,7,13,14	67:17,23 83:8	30:21 31:3,8	62:20,23 63:1	95:4,7,18,21
82:16,19 83:3	93:1 94:10	31:14,20,25	63:4,7,10,13	96:2,3,7,22
83:10 101:11	133:19	32:5,7,10,14	63:20,25 64:5	97:1,4,9,11
102:18,19,20	officer 135:4	32:18,21,23	64:8,12,15,18	98:1,4,6,12,17
102:21 103:11	officer's 135:10	33:2,9,10,12	64:18,21,21	98:18 99:20
	officer \$ 155.10			

rage 147				
100:6,13,21	89:25 114:25	page 3:2,12 22:7	135:2,6	please 4:5 33:13
101:8,17,25	115:12 120:12	32:24 33:2,4	pass 129:22	57:2 85:11
102:20,23	120:22 123:9	33:10 34:4,24	131:15	102:9 112:12
103:4,8,15,19	130:17	37:1,16 38:4	passing 129:21	119:13 127:16
104:13,19,22	one-time 74:13	38:19,23 39:3	people 36:12	point 4:17 32:24
105:3,8,11,14	ones 34:4 38:1	39:19 43:19,24	108:9	41:14,22 51:10
105:17,20,22	38:21 69:10	44:7,22 45:1	person 35:21	54:9 56:20
106:11,14,19	82:8	45:21 47:1	36:18 44:9,11	57:4 63:17
106:23 107:2	ongoing 112:20	54:16 68:8,21	54:2 57:6	66:19,20 69:11
107:14,19	operating 12:22	68:25 85:22	73:11 75:22	71:17 74:2,4
108:2,6,10,14	operation 8:4	86:2,3 96:9,11	105:18 106:4	75:7 77:11,16
108:17,23	11:20 12:17	97:13 98:9,11	108:10 119:7	87:18,20 88:21
109:14,19,25	operations 8:7	108:9 126:17	133:15	89:10 90:13
110:4,11,19,22	11:21	132:4	personal 37:16	100:12 103:17
111:1,7 112:1	opinion 79:5,10	pages 81:15 91:8	128:8	109:14 110:8
112:4,6,15,21	100:7 108:17	115:2 134:24	personally 27:8	111:7,8,24
113:3,14,19,25	opinions 21:19	paper 127:19	27:10 86:20	111:7,8,24
, , ,	-			114:5 124:25
114:6,16,21	21:23,24 22:3 39:4 109:11	paperwork 10:24	130:9,24 133:12	126:7,11,15,20
115:8,9,14,21				
115:24 116:2,2	125:21	paragraph	persons 67:4	policies 12:2
116:15,20	opportunity	85:23 121:14	111:11,17	19:20 50:9
117:5,10,15,18	6:19 115:1	122:9	perspective	77:2,6 78:18
117:22,24	120:22 129:11	Parker 1:21	88:22	78:20 79:1
118:7,16,19,24	oppose 129:16	parking 10:25	Phoenix 6:14,16	94:22 112:17
119:3,9,16,22	opt 130:10	part 11:5,25	6:18,24 7:2	112:23 113:12
120:1,19,20,21	ORAL 1:9,15	14:16 42:4	86:17,18,21	116:22 117:1,1
120:24 121:1,4	134:10	54:2 64:3 66:6	<b>phone</b> 39:20,22	121:17 122:18
121:12,15,23	order 1:23 41:21	66:22 68:7,14	49:19 51:14,16	policy 14:19,23
122:4,8,20	61:18 117:3	71:16 78:11	51:17 57:19	15:1,10,18,21
123:3,6,11,14	orientation	91:12 98:19	58:13,15 63:14	15:25 16:23
123:17,20,23	48:11	100:13 101:15	63:20,25 64:14	17:13,16,19
124:2,7 125:3	original 135:11	101:19 106:21	64:24 73:12,14	20:3,9,13,18
125:6,6,13,13	originally 49:4	107:12 113:13	75:22 78:8	23:24 25:6,7
125:18,21,24	outcome 135:17	113:17,24	83:11 127:21	26:1 27:2
126:6,11,15,22	outside 79:24	126:22 129:10	pictures 34:9,21	28:23 29:5
127:2,12,20,23	109:4	partially 115:22	45:5,5,7,13,19	46:15,23 50:14
128:1,7,10,23	oversee 8:4,11	participate	45:21,23 97:16	51:24 52:1
131:22	8:14,18 12:13	71:25 89:10,13	97:17,20,22	73:3,17,20
<b>old</b> 97:19 98:6	oversees 46:16	participated	98:2,9	74:5 77:8,9,10
once 17:3 23:17	92:13	83:5	pilots 45:22	77:12,18 78:23
31:22 38:6	oversight 13:8	particular 53:18	<b>place</b> 41:5 93:17	78:23 80:11,16
40:21 47:2	P	parties 135:5,14	plaintiff 1:3,17	85:14,19 94:20
50:21 56:24		partner 24:8	2:2 4:14	94:21,21 105:1
60:12 63:2	<b>P.L.L.C</b> 2:10	50:22 80:9	131:20 134:4	105:6,25 106:9
81:6 82:15	<b>p.m</b> 1:19 88:5,8	105:4,9,12	135:11	106:16 107:5,6
84:22 88:13	124:17,20	party 134:20	<b>plans</b> 103:24	107:9,10,16,21
	131:23,25			
	•	•	•	•

				rage 140
108:4,20 109:9	109:5 126:9,17	87:19 88:24	107:7 109:22	17:12,15 18:12
109:12 113:8,8	potential 25:8	<b>problem</b> 34:14	114:6 116:8,20	18:15 20:15,16
113:9 116:6	78:21	Procedure 1:22	questions 4:15	20:20,22,25
122:11,14,16	preference 72:3	proceed 31:7	4:22 68:15,17	21:4,5,10,13
122:16 125:16	preliminary	79:5,11	88:11 102:9	21:15,20 24:25
125:17	39:24	proceeding	114:1 124:22	25:4 26:5,7,8
political 21:18	prepared 65:25	79:13 135:15	130:1 131:19	26:10,12,16,18
27:17 98:19,21	preparing 4:24	proceedings 4:1	quick 31:5 130:1	26:20 27:7,15
portion 115:19	135:11	125:19,22	<b>quick</b> 31.3 130.1	27:23 28:6,19
115:24	present 2:20	131:25	R	28:22 29:16
<b>position</b> 5:19,24	81:14 83:12	process 55:2	race 48:10 50:12	30:12,16,20
6:5 8:1 117:17	101:8	90:14 114:4	raise 87:2,5	35:2,3,5,10
130:24	presented 101:3	126:23 130:18	raised 32:19	36:4,9,13
<b>positive</b> 67:14	101:5,15 102:1	131:10	53:20 129:6	43:14 45:17
possibility 25:18	107:17	processes	ran 119:4	48:20 51:15
73:2 104:1	presenting	101:24	reach 43:4 75:3	52:12 53:1,22
112:18	101:24	<b>produce</b> 82:4	75:6,12 105:4	53:22 55:11,17
possible 92:22	president 40:10	102:15	107:19	57:15,17,21
96:14 105:17	40:16,22 60:2	produced 1:16	reached 41:12	59:15 61:14,25
possibly 16:4,9	60:8	121:9 128:22	46:21 49:9	62:6,14,15,17
18:4 23:19	pretty 42:2	production	53:5 54:3	64:20,21 66:17
24:11 27:12,25	54:19 75:23	129:7,8,10	70:14,16 75:17	68:5,17 69:9
29:10 36:3	108:21 110:18	<b>proofread</b> 119:7	100:24 106:11	69:14 71:9,12
43:17,21 45:22	print 41:3	protected 47:10	reaching 47:14	72:9 75:7,23
51:23,25 52:15	<b>print</b> 41.3 <b>printed</b> 45:20	48:5,7,13,18	49:15 50:19	76:5,6,13,17
57:17 73:16	55:7	49:6,7,11 50:4	51:1 107:3	78:7,14 79:2
75:24 110:3,24	prior 20:6 32:20	50:5,8	123:7	79:22 83:23
111:4 122:5	34:10 57:12,19	protocol 66:22	reaction 106:19	89:18 91:3
post 25:11 33:21	57:24 58:2,5	proved 133:13	read 5:9 19:3,4	92:17,19,21
38:18 42:1	59:12 64:9	<b>provide</b> 108:7	19:5,7 70:6	94:6 95:20
98:15 126:16	69:11,17 74:17	provided 128:16	89:25 112:11	96:15 99:17
posted 41:9 98:2	76:1 94:1	provisions 1:24	115:1,11 133:1	103:2,14,21,22
107:24	122:22,25	PUBLIC 133:23	readily 98:8	103.2,14,21,22
posting 97:13	123:18 125:6,9	purpose 133:18	ready 95:25	109:10,13,25
98:21	private 68:20	purpose 133.18 pursuant 1:22	real 31:4 39:16	110:4 117:3
posts 31:12,17	69:4,6,8	134:18 135:3	really 21:25	125:23 126:10
34:1,2,13,20	<b>privilege</b> 114:13	put 12:15 41:3	46:22	receipt 134:22
37:11,15,17,19	privileged	55:7 68:21	reason 23:21	receive 22:12
37:21,22 38:16	102:14 126:4	33.7 00.21	41:4 97:8	32:7 40:23
43:14 45:2,4	pro-choice	Q	114:13 132:4	41:1,7 48:1
46:14 52:25	130:25 131:6	question 5:5,6	reasons 19:18	received 33:23
54:20,22 58:18	pro-life 96:5,12	26:19 31:7	52:12,14,21,24	43:9 55:4
58:25 68:19	130:22,25	44:14 60:4	85:13 107:14	102:16 116:15
69:3,7,13	131:1,3,9	68:6 69:1	134:24	127:17 128:24
83:18,19,21,24	probably 18:3	76:10 80:8	recall 10:2 11:22	receives 47:18
97:14 108:8	23:1 55:25	86:6,9 99:8,13	14:20 15:20	receiving 32:20
71.14 100.0	43.1 33.43	102:5,13 104:8	16:10,15 17:11	
	<u> </u>	102.0,10 100		<u> </u>

41:17 42:18	referred 34:4	6:21	<b>rep</b> 66:16 100:9	85:8 91:2
116:17 117:2	referring 7:19	relayed 94:5	125:8,14	126:25 127:7
117:18	7:20 34:8,20	religion 50:12	repeated 85:17	127:14 129:13
Recess 56:17	36:17 75:4	religious 24:23	85:24 86:7,25	129:16
88:6 124:18	82:10 98:16	25:3 30:10,15	report 6:15 8:24	requested
recognition 8:8	99:25 122:5,19	30:18,23 96:19	9:2,4,6,9 10:5	134:20 135:1
recognize 19:12	refers 68:10	130:21 131:9	10:6,22 13:17	requests 121:10
31:25 33:16	refresh 19:23	remember 21:25	97:4,6 111:11	required 11:14
47:5 60:15	63:17 99:5	22:9 30:1	111:16,20,21	91:23
63:5 65:10	refreshing 99:10	31:18 32:10	112:2 113:14	requirements
81:9,15 84:24	regarding 1:23	35:4,11,22	116:9	118:22,25
90:3 112:7	32:6 83:18	39:23 40:8	reported 1:12	119:5
115:15 120:25	85:25 86:8	41:19,21,23	1:20 9:15,23	research 43:22
121:13	87:1 125:22	44:2 45:9,18	26:1,13,21	103:25
recollection	126:1	45:22 46:7	31:11 111:24	reserve 128:12
63:17	region 15:17,18	53:18 58:21	134:12	129:3 131:19
recommend	17:20 22:13	59:11,19,23	reporter 4:5,24	resource 11:17
124:24	23:15 24:15	60:10 61:12	Reporter's 3:8	24:7 106:25
recommendati	25:2	62:19,22 64:17	134:9	107:2
107:15	Registration	64:18 66:20	reporting 10:9	resources 10:25
recommendati	135:22	69:1 70:11	10:12 11:14	24:2,4 80:9
104:3 125:4	regret 96:12	73:9,10,14,15	135:23	105:4,8,11
record 1:24 4:3	reinstatement	79:18 80:5,7	reports 10:4	106:3,7 111:23
5:7 56:15,19	86:1,9 87:2	90:4,25 93:25	25:15	respect 130:22
88:4,8 124:16	relate 127:16	94:8,22 95:3	represent 4:13	131:5
124:20 128:20	related 100:3	97:20 98:5	92:2 93:23	respond 54:24
129:6 131:23	135:14	99:2,9 101:19	114:18 126:18	responding
134:17 135:5	relations 15:15	103:2 104:5,6	representation	102:8
recorded 82:22	24:6,8 43:1,21	104:9,18	57:2,3 69:23	response 58:19
82:25	46:9,16,17	105:15 106:18	70:7 91:16,21	78:2 82:5
records 15:9	47:9,12,15,18	109:17,19	representative	102:5 121:9
redacted 114:7	47:20 49:9,18	110:7,13,15	56:22 66:10	124:3
114:9	49:19,25 50:22	111:3 112:1	67:2 91:19	responsibilities
redactions	50:23 51:1	119:24 120:17	92:8,9,12,15	8:3 12:1,12
114:19	54:11 58:6,8	121:6,7,8,11	92:20,23 93:3	14:17
<b>REED</b> 2:16	71:2,2 72:12	121:21 123:19	93:8,19	responsive
refer 7:20 81:5	72:13 73:22	123:20,22	representatives	126:24 127:2,9
117:22	76:9,11,19	126:14,21	67:3	127:13 128:2
referenced	100:18 104:23	<b>Remotely</b> 1:12	represented	result 16:16,18
63:21 64:1	107:25 108:3	134:12	57:10	129:15
68:21 86:11	108:10,15	remove 126:13	representing	resulted 16:13
97:18	110:9,12	removed 114:12	92:6	17:10 18:13,16
references 85:24	111:22,22,25	render 61:2	represents 69:19	28:3,20 29:18
86:7,25	115:19 116:12	rendered 125:11	request 25:2	95:7
referencing	119:19,23	reopen 128:13	30:11 62:7,10	retaliation 16:24
37:22	relationship	129:3,16	62:14,18 82:5	116:6 122:12
J		127.5,10	02.11,10 02.3	110.0 122.12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

				rage 130
returned 134:22	roles 12:11	searched 128:5	sequence 83:20	122:21 123:7
134:23	roughly 8:20	searching	service 11:7	123:14 125:21
revealing 102:14	110:15	127:12	set 12:7 43:4	Sims' 9:13
review 18:19	<b>Rule</b> 134:18	second 83:15	53:11,16 63:14	sir 84:15 115:7
31:21,22 33:4	rules 1:22	90:18 94:3	64:14,23,24	125:10
34:25 47:3	121:16,18,19	99:19	70:20 77:1	sit 11:8
60:13,19 63:3	121:24	see 9:9 18:5,18	94:16	situation 14:1
81:6 95:23	rumors 35:11,15	32:23 34:18	setting 52:16	81:4
115:12 120:13	36:2	38:4 39:3,7	94:7	situations 26:12
120:21,22	running 12:17	43:16 60:11	seven 28:13	62:17
129:9,11	118:21	63:7 65:7 81:5	60:22,24,25	six 18:4,5,8,10
reviewed 19:10	110.21	87:8,9 89:21	61:1,5,9 62:2	18:11,13 23:19
31:24 33:12	S	91:6 95:21	sexual 16:24	slightly 99:15
38:6,7 84:22	<b>S</b> 2:10 3:14,16	96:5 97:8,9	48:11 50:15,15	sightly 99.13 smaller 23:13
95:23 102:25	<b>Saturday</b> 128:25	98:15 108:9	51:24 52:1,9	<b>SMITH</b> 2:16
	saved 128:4		73:3 78:22	
revising 119:12 revisions 70:4	saved 128.4 saw 68:24	119:1 120:2,3 123:3	104:25 111:4	smoothly 12:17 social 14:18,22
	saving 36:9 65:5			,
<b>Rhea</b> 10:17 66:2	96:15 113:23	seeing 111:5	116:5 117:1 122:11	15:1,9,18 17:13,16,16
66:3,6 67:11	120:7	seeking 46:22		, , ,
right 2:3 7:4	says 37:3 39:3	83:24	shared 15:14	19:24 20:3,8
8:21 11:25	41:12 63:13	seen 47:6	101:22 127:10	20:17 21:8,11
24:21 25:24	85:5,24 86:7	send 35:24	<b>sharing</b> 79:7	21:16 23:24
26:25 27:18	92:1 93:22	102:24 122:21	short 56:7 124:9	25:6,7,11,20
28:12 32:10	94:4 96:4,7,11	122:25	shortly 41:17	26:1,14,22
34:18 36:25	97:17,19 98:14	sending 47:12	shot 38:8	27:2 28:17,22
39:18 42:15,20	98:18 116:2	89:6 122:22	shots 38:24 85:7	29:5 46:19
44:17 45:22	121:16 122:10	123:12,18	<b>showed</b> 45:2,16	77:9,12,17,19
46:8 48:20	Schneider 1:10	125:6,9,24	45:17	78:22 83:18
49:17 50:2		sends 117:6	<b>showing</b> 33:21	94:20 98:22
54:8 56:13	1:15 3:4,18,20 3:22 4:7,11	senior 47:21,22	55:12	107:5,20 108:4
57:12 59:16	<i>'</i>	senses 92:7	shown 97:17	108:20 109:9
62:16,20 66:14	18:20 31:6	sent 31:18 32:3	shows 34:16	112:19 113:7
67:1,6 68:23	56:4,20 84:13	37:15,17,19	39:15 97:17	122:16 125:16
70:13 76:7	84:17 87:10	38:8,16,18,21	99:10	society 27:18
77:21 78:11	88:10 99:16	38:25 39:1,9	shy 55:21	solely 8:24
81:22 87:21,23	102:3 119:11	48:25 49:3,18	signature 3:7	<b>solid</b> 108:21,23
88:10 91:5	124:21 127:15	51:10 52:3,4	132:3 133:2	109:2
94:2 95:21	128:22 129:19	54:20 58:18	134:19,24	somebody 43:15
100:23 114:6	129:25 132:1	60:19 68:19	Similarly 5:2,5	54:21 72:8
114:16,23	133:1,6,12	69:4,7 74:15	simply 42:4	76:19
115:15 120:20	134:10,15	74:22 82:15	48:19 54:19	<b>Sonya</b> 9:15,17
124:14,21	scratch 75:1	84:18 85:8	55:13 72:7,18	59:7 104:20
128:10,12	screen 38:8,24	113:24 117:7	77:24 102:18	122:20
129:3	85:7	123:4 125:11	108:7 127:18	soon 45:24
rise 85:18	seal 133:19	separate 6:22	Sims 9:2,4,11	103:18 109:25
<b>Road</b> 2:4	search 126:23	120:19	59:10 104:17	110:4 117:18
	128:1			
	I	<u> </u>	1	ı

Page 151

rage 131				
<b>sorry</b> 13:6 29:14	107:10 111:13	38:20	135:23	surrounding
31:21 33:7	117:21	statement 97:12	strictly 49:21	21:17
35:6 42:16	specifically 10:2	98:13	subject 37:6	suspect 49:11
64:25 67:4	11:24 15:15	statements 45:6	subscribed	suspended
70:23 75:5	20:21 23:5	states 1:1 91:15	133:16	23:11
78:8 80:18	27:7 28:19	134:1	subsequent	suspension 23:8
89:7 102:7,23	29:22 36:14		85:25 86:8	Suzanne 6:19
120:18	37:11 38:12	<b>stenotype</b> 1:21 <b>step</b> 80:2 83:15	87:1	7:1 32:4,12
		_		,
sort 13:14 25:12	44:2 45:9	125:18,22	substantive 93:8	37:3,4,13,15
26:14 51:4	53:23 63:6,22	Stephensen 3:14	Suite 2:4,16	37:23 38:15
68:24 76:16	70:12 71:16	3:16 6:19 7:1	Sullivan 92:2	39:20 41:20
<b>Sounds</b> 56:14	72:9 75:23	32:4,12 37:16	93:22,24	43:8 44:3 49:3
Southwest 1:5	78:7 87:3,4	37:23 38:15	supervisor 8:22	59:18,22 63:10
2:14 4:16 5:14	91:4 92:21	39:21 43:8	9:10,14,21,25	63:13 85:6
5:16,20 6:12	94:8 98:3	49:4 59:18,22	10:3,6 11:23	88:18,20,23
7:5,10 8:5 12:1	101:18 103:21	63:11 88:18,20	supervisors 8:10	89:1,4,9,13,13
16:23 17:13,16	105:15 106:1	88:23 89:1	9:7 10:4,11	117:6,7,9
19:21 22:8	106:14,18	<b>steps</b> 34:1 42:22	11:10,11 12:13	SWA0004421
25:14,19 30:18	107:8 111:3	42:23 50:19	12:15,19	3:16
30:21 36:18	113:1 119:24	58:21 70:18	supported 111:4	SWA004226
40:14 45:3,6	121:6	71:9 100:17	115:25	3:15
45:20,22 68:12	specifics 21:25	<b>Steve</b> 9:12	supports 115:22	SWA004633
68:24 97:15,19	28:4 36:4	<b>Stone</b> 3:15,24	supposedly	3:18
98:19 102:12	55:11 61:12	32:4 33:21	38:21	SWA004675
105:25 112:17	64:17 68:22	35:8 37:8 38:9	sure 5:2,6 8:6	3:20
126:13,18	speculation 27:5	38:17,18,22,24	11:13 12:10,16	SWA004711
127:20,23	30:25 44:24	39:1,9 40:1,4,7	15:3,7 17:18	3:22
134:6	52:11 74:19	40:9 43:4 49:3	18:25 20:21	swear 4:5
Southwest's	109:1	50:20 51:2	23:20 28:4,5	switch 87:8
15:21 20:8,12	spoke 53:12	52:3,5 53:5	31:15 33:14	sworn 1:17 4:8
20:17 122:11	spoken 93:1	58:18 59:25	43:9 49:15,16	134:15
space 11:5	Springfield 2:5	64:6 67:17,19	55:24 57:17	synopsis 112:13
114:17	Spurlock 2:21	69:25 74:8,14	58:22 59:20	
span 85:9	staff 8:9	74:22 75:6	65:4 67:13	T
speak 36:12	stage 79:3 94:12	85:3 88:20,22	68:4 70:6	take 9:17,24,25
76:7,10 89:7	94:13	107:18 109:16	75:24 81:3	10:21,24 11:2
92:22,24	start 22:16 42:6	109:18,20	83:20 84:5	11:8 42:22,24
speaking 13:6	42:12,17 77:17	117:8	86:18 91:13	55:22 56:12
76:13 107:8	90:14	Stone's 53:15,21	101:14 103:3	63:23 71:22
specific 29:3	started 66:21	54:12,18 55:10	103:17 110:18	72:8 82:1,3,6
32:24 34:1,2	started 66.21 starting 42:10	55:15 57:14	111:5 112:12	82:12 84:21
34:13,20 37:17	starting 42.10 starts 98:14	71:18 86:10	111:3 112:12	87:11 120:21
41:21 52:12,14	state 1:20,23	stop 87:12	113:23 118:22	124:9 126:8
68:17 75:11,15	129:5 133:8,24	_	119:3,4,15	taken 1:17 8:7
*		<b>stopping</b> 87:18 87:20	123:16 124:10	135:5,15
80:7 86:2	134:13			taker 82:7,9
90:24 97:2	stated 1:24	<b>Street</b> 2:11,17	surprised 54:20	takes 93:17
				uncs /J.1/

				rage 132
Talbert 86:12	122:2	47:24 48:17	tomorrow 63:14	36:15 60:4
86:16 99:22	terminate 13:20	50:18 74:10	<b>Toni</b> 62:21,24	92:5 119:3
100:2,9	14:18 95:14	76:18 85:10	117:10,12,13	128:23 130:3
<b>Talbert's</b> 85:25	terminated 6:2,5	86:17 87:22,25	top 83:2	130:20
86:8 87:1	18:6 19:18	89:21 91:5,15	topics 99:1	understanding
talk 5:3,4 36:5	20:3 23:16,21	91:25 96:10	TOPS 41:12	55:1
50:23 51:16	95:19 122:17	97:15 99:14,24	total 23:14	Understood
53:13,19 55:9	termination	117:13 124:8	touch 96:15	129:18
57:4 72:14	3:13,25 16:13	128:11	town 91:24	uniform 45:23
103:5 125:7	16:16,19 17:10	thinking 87:16	transcript 4:24	97:18
talked 38:15	18:16 19:15	thorough 101:14	134:16,23	union 1:6 2:8
41:19 63:13,18	23:9 69:12	thought 51:5,18	transpired	4:17 7:12,15
73:7 79:9	85:25 86:8	52:8 55:2	113:23	7:18,21,24
101:10 105:17	87:1 95:8,10	thoughts 47:9	Transport 1:6	25:25 26:13,21
talking 23:5	117:20,25	48:5 50:3	2:8 4:16 7:20	35:19 36:6
29:19 33:5	118:16,17	101:23	134:6	56:22 57:1,2,4
42:12 53:23	121:3,5 125:7	three 5:25 10:10	treated 130:5	60:2,7 61:16
56:23 57:19	125:17,24	10:14 12:15	trial 131:19	61:21,23 62:4
92:19 94:18	126:19	96:10	tried 55:3	62:7,10,14,18
99:11 102:18	terminations	time 4:4 10:3	trouble 120:17	66:9,15 67:2
team 12:14 13:1	19:24	11:14 26:24	true 37:19 133:3	68:12 90:13
24:14,19 68:11	testified 4:8	28:21 37:9	134:16	91:16,18,21
68:11 96:25	98:25	38:1,15 44:19	try 5:4 43:4	92:7,8,23 93:3
97:1,5		47:14 49:20,23	trying 35:5 49:8	93:7 100:9
teleconference	testify 54:15 102:4 119:13	50:24 52:15	99:12 128:25	125:8,14 130:7
67:7		53:7,10 60:19		130:10,13
	testimony 20:6 34:11 58:5	61:13 62:3	<b>Tuesday</b> 118:8 <b>turn</b> 39:19	130.10,13
<b>Telephone</b> 135:24	134:17 135:4	63:17,19 66:15	turning 25:10	union's 61:18
tell 19:17,20	Texas 1:1,20	67:20 74:21	36:25	UNITED 1:1
37:13 42:3,6	2:11,17 134:2	83:21 86:18	two 6:22 16:4	134:1
56:25 58:14	134:14 135:21	87:24 90:7,12	27:12,16 61:15	unwilling 62:4
64:15 66:17	135:24	90:23,25 92:17		unwinnig 02.4 updated 89:17
69:2 72:16,22			92:7 116:25	upuated 89.17 use 18:23 36:16
73:1,19 76:24	<b>Thank</b> 114:21 <b>thanks</b> 124:5	98:5 102:6 104:8 110:13	125:18,22	
77:2,22 105:22	themes 103:22	117:21 118:14	TWU 5:13 7:19	39:11,14 63:23 113:18 128:3
109:2 114:10	therefor 134:25	117.21 118.14		
121:2 123:23			40:20,22,23 41:1,5,7 91:17	useless 128:6
128:21	thing 79:12 107:22	129:1,6,14 130:14 131:19	92:12	Usually 25:10
	things 12:20			utilize 24:2,5
telling 73:14 tells 114:2	22:9 39:16	135:4,6,6	<b>type</b> 8:8 10:25 12:20 24:12	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
		times 14:6,10,10		V 4:15
ten 10:13 15:5,6	42:21 48:15,21 50:9 99:21	53:17 93:5 99:13	25:11 50:8,15 79:12	vaginas 116:1
17:4,6,22,24 23:19 55:22			17.14	vague 24:16
	think 16:4,8 22:18 26:23	timing 129:7	U	27:4 60:3,9
56:12 124:10		today 4:15 130:2	uh-huhs 5:1	111:18
124:12	29:10 39:8	Today's 4:3	understand 7:19	vaguely 84:25
<b>term</b> 36:16	42:20 46:3,8	told 52:18 66:14	9:8 26:19	90:4 94:24
			7.0 20.17	→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →

<b>Vegas</b> 6:20	106:15,17,22	watched 42:1	<b>Workers</b> 1:6 2:8	<b>02-22-17</b> 3:14
31:19 37:8	107:12,20	way 5:7 27:1	4:16 7:21	<b>02-23-17</b> 3:16
88:23,24 117:8	108:3,18,19,22	41:4,8 48:24	134:6	<b>02-28-17</b> 3:17
verbal 4:25	112:16 116:13	51:4 80:19	working 6:21,24	<b>03-09-17</b> 3:19
Verbally 51:3	116:22,25	87:5 89:11,14	7:1 11:16,21	<b>03-10-17</b> 3:21
version 81:21	122:10	96:14 107:7	103:11 118:14	<b>03-14-17</b> 3:13
vicinity 44:16	violations 15:1	111:10 114:8	workplace 15:21	<b>04/30/21</b> 135:22
video 42:2 68:18	15:18,24 16:23	116:23 127:6	15:24 20:12	
Videographer	19:25 27:2	we'll 56:13 88:1	22:2,6 24:24	1
2:21 4:2 56:15	28:23 46:18	124:14	43:17 77:15	<b>1</b> 31:21 34:6,7,9
56:18 88:4,7	78:23 94:19	we're 55:21	107:23 108:8	34:19,21,24
124:16,19	112:19 113:12	118:14 120:3,4	109:6 122:15	38:4 49:1
131:16,22	122:17 124:1	130:1	works 8:10	<b>1,650</b> 8:20
videos 116:3	Virginia 2:5	we've 55:20	worth 51:5,18	<b>1,926</b> 8:15
VIDEOTAPED	virtual 128:4	95:24 98:24	51:21 52:19	1:24 88:6,8
1:9,15 134:10	visible 98:8	128:22	wouldn't 10:5	<b>10</b> 87:17
view 100:3	voted 40:15	weekend 123:21	116:18 128:5	<b>10:00</b> 1:19 4:4
viewed 38:16	<b>VP</b> 9:15	weigh 50:6	write 118:17	<b>10:30</b> 56:3
46:14	vs 1:4 134:5	weighed 50:16	writing 12:8	<b>100</b> 22:20,21
views 48:14	<b>VS</b> 1.4 134.3	went 55:2	written 4:24	<b>10th</b> 113:4
98:19,21	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$	weren't 28:24	wrong 85:11	116:16 118:5,7
116:13 126:18	wait 100:18	wife 39:15	99:25	<b>11:23</b> 56:16,17
130:22 131:9	waited 104:23		99:23	<b>11:35</b> 56:17
	105:3	willing 126:16	X	<b>11:36</b> 56:19
violate 29:5	walk 11:5 36:11	wings 45:5,21	<b>X</b> 134:20	<b>112</b> 3:21
116:4 122:14	want 19:5,6,7	witness 1:16 4:6	<b>A</b> 134.20	<b>12:35</b> 88:1
violated 19:21	34:7 41:6	31:9 56:9	Y	<b>12:36</b> 88:5,6
20:8,12,17,22	46:12 48:4	87:13 102:6	yeah 18:25 34:8	<b>120</b> 3:25
46:23 47:10	55:25 56:1,1	119:16 120:6	36:15 55:24	<b>120</b> 3.23 <b>129</b> 3:5
51:23,25 80:11	83:15 84:21	120:18 129:11	70:1 84:10	12th 135:18
80:13,15	85:12 87:11	129:21,22	86:3 104:9	<b>13</b> 84:5
107:16 109:9	89:20 98:12	131:15 132:1	111:15 112:12	<b>132</b> 3:7
109:12 112:22	102:3 115:11	134:15,17	115:4,7 124:12	<b>134</b> 3:8
113:10 121:20	119:3,17 124:8	women 116:1	127:6	<b>14</b> 3:23 84:6,7
121:24 122:1	128:19 129:3	<b>Women's</b> 68:10	year 22:13,14	84:11,12 88:13
122:18 125:15	wanted 42:9	68:11	23:1,12,16,20	14th 118:3,5,8
violating 14:18	49:20 50:3,11	wondering	27:3,13,14	<b>15</b> 3:25 87:17
20:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38:17 114:12	years 5:25 7:9	
<b>violation</b> 16:13	50:16 51:6	word 96:14	7:16 28:13	120:4,6,10,11
23:24 25:6,7,9	54:21 68:15,18	work 2:3 5:16	85:9	<b>16</b> 8:9
26:2 46:15	72:19,20,22	6:19 7:7 44:12	you-all 39:21	<b>1700</b> 2:16
73:2,17 77:18	73:1 87:20	44:17 55:13	you-an 39.21	<b>18</b> 3:13 120:9,10
80:16,20,21	88:12 91:23	86:21 92:18	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	120:14
81:2 85:14,18	wasn't 37:10	96:12 97:18		2
94:22 100:20	49:15 67:15,22	121:16,18,19	0	<b>2</b> 3:3,13 18:19
104:25 105:5	71:13,16 91:24	121:24	<b>00</b> 135:9	
105:25 106:8	100:2	<b>worked</b> 6:18 7:4	<b>02</b> 135:8	33:8,8 37:1
	watch 12:18			39:19 47:1
	•			

			 Page 15
60:12 63:2	<u> </u>	<b></b>	
89:21 114:24	4	7	
<b>2:33</b> 124:17,18	<b>4</b> 3:5,16 32:24	<b>7</b> 3:21 19:1	
<b>2:43</b> 124:15	33:6,6	112:5 117:23	
<b>2:45</b> 124:13,20	41 135:8	118:16 122:6	
<b>2:53</b> 129:24	<b>4228</b> 34:24	<b>7015</b> 135:23	
<b>2:55</b> 1:19 131:23	<b>4232</b> 38:4	703.321.8510	
131:25	<b>4233</b> 3:15	2:5	
<b>20</b> 84:4,10,13,14	<b>4277</b> 89:22,22	703.321.9319	
86:3 88:13	90:16 94:2	2:6	
120:3	<b>4278</b> 91:6 92:1	<b>7159</b> 63:1	
<b>2016</b> 6:8	<b>4279</b> 89:23 91:6	<b>75201</b> 2:17	
	<b>4436</b> 33:3,3,10	<b>75226-1637</b> 2:11	
<b>2017</b> 6:9,10 8:16	34:16,19 37:1	<b>75252</b> 135:24	
8:19 9:4,13	<b>4450</b> 47:1	8	
10:16 14:13	<b>4459</b> 60:11		
28:15,19 31:13	<b>45</b> 87:21 88:1	8001 2:4	
63:16 116:16	<b>4635</b> 68:7	<b>81</b> 3:19	
<b>2020</b> 1:11,18 4:3	<b>4638</b> 3:18	<b>84</b> 3:23	
132:2 134:11	<b>4676</b> 96:3	9	
135:19	<b>4679</b> 97:10	<b>9</b> 81:6 87:9	
214.642.7486	<b>4680</b> 98:13		
2:12	469.680.4264	95:22 <b>972.931.1199</b>	
<b>22160</b> 2:5	2:18		
<b>23rd</b> 46:4,6	<b>4690</b> 99:19	135:25 <b>972.931.2799</b>	
63:16	<b>4692</b> 3:20		
<b>24th</b> 65:3	<b>4712</b> 3:22 113:7	135:24	
<b>25</b> 23:2	114:17		
<b>2501</b> 2:17			
3	5		
<b>3</b> 1:11,18 3:14	<b>5</b> 3:17 65:7,8		
121:14 132:2	<b>50</b> 22:23 23:2		
134:11 135:8	<b>529</b> 86:4		
<b>3.0</b> 121:17	<b>556</b> 1:6 2:8 4:17		
3:17-cv-02278	5:13 7:19,20		
1:5 134:5	7:21 40:10		
<b>30</b> 129:12	91:17 131:18		
134:22	134:7		
<b>30(f)(1)</b> 134:19	<b>5762</b> 114:24		
<b>31</b> 3:14	115:6		
<b>33</b> 3:16	<b>5763</b> 114:24		
<b>3301</b> 2:11	115:6		
<b>3371</b> 135:21	6		
<b>3500</b> 128:24			
33UU 120:24	63:19 112:5		
29 125.22			
<b>38</b> 135:22 <b>3rd</b> 4:3	<b>600</b> 2:4 <b>65</b> 3:17		